**LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN**



**TOWN OF GRAFTON, VERMONT**

**2025**

FEMA Approval Pending Adoption Date

Municipal Adoption Date:

FEMA Formal Approval Date:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Local Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee for the Town of Grafton** | |
| Morgan Wilbur  Town Administrator | Bill Kearns  EMD |
| Kim Record  Town Clerk/Treasurer | Cathy Siano-Goodwin  Selectboard |
| Buzz Fisher  Planning Commission | Amber Stevens  EMC |
| Colby Record  Road Foreman | Robbie Sprague  Fire Chief |
| Matt Siano  Town Health Officer |  |

**Technical Assistance by SEAM Solutions LLC**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Key Partners** | |
| Agency of Natural Resources – Department of Environmental Conservation National Flood Insurance | Agency of Natural Resources – Department of Environmental Conservation Southern Region Floodplain Manager |
| Green Mountain Power | Windham Regional Commission |
| Windham County Natural Resource Conservation District | VT Agency of Transportation - Maintenance District 2 |
| Saxtons River Watershed Collaborative |  |

Table of Contents

[1 INTRODUCTION 5](#_Toc197003443)

[2 PURPOSE 5](#_Toc197003444)

[3 COMMUNITY PROFILE 6](#_Toc197003445)

[Land Use – Land Features - Development Patterns 6](#_Toc197003446)

[Demographics and Growth Potential 6](#_Toc197003447)

[Precipitation and Water Features 7](#_Toc197003448)

[Water and Wastewater 7](#_Toc197003449)

[Transportation 7](#_Toc197003450)

[Electric Utility Distribution 8](#_Toc197003451)

[Public Safety 8](#_Toc197003452)

[Emergency Management 9](#_Toc197003453)

[Critical Facilities 9](#_Toc197003454)

[Dams 9](#_Toc197003455)

[4 PLANNING PROCESS 11](#_Toc197003456)

[Plan Developers 11](#_Toc197003457)

[Plan Development Process 11](#_Toc197003458)

[Changes since the 2019 Plan 13](#_Toc197003459)

[5 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT 15](#_Toc197003460)

[Local Vulnerabilities and Risk Assessment 15](#_Toc197003461)

[Highest Risk Hazard Profiles 18](#_Toc197003462)

[Drought 25](#_Toc197003463)

[Heat 25](#_Toc197003464)

[Wildfire 26](#_Toc197003465)

[Infectious Disease 26](#_Toc197003466)

[Wind 27](#_Toc197003467)

[Landslide/slope failure 28](#_Toc197003468)

[6 HAZARD MITIGATION STRATEGY 30](#_Toc197003469)

[Mitigation Goals and Objectives 30](#_Toc197003470)

[Community Lifelines 30](#_Toc197003471)

[Community Capabilities 31](#_Toc197003472)

[Administrative and Technical 31](#_Toc197003473)

[Planning and Regulatory 31](#_Toc197003474)

[Financial 32](#_Toc197003475)

[Education and Outreach 32](#_Toc197003476)

[National Flood Insurance Program 32](#_Toc197003477)

[State Incentives for Flood Mitigation 33](#_Toc197003478)

[Mitigation Action Identification 33](#_Toc197003479)

[Mitigation Action Evaluation 35](#_Toc197003480)

[Mitigation Action Evaluation 35](#_Toc197003481)

[Table 8: Mitigation Action Plan 37](#_Toc197003482)

[7 PLAN MAINTENANCE 45](#_Toc197003483)

[Annual Evaluation and Monitoring 45](#_Toc197003484)

[5-Year Updates 48](#_Toc197003485)

[APPENDIX A – Community Outreach 50](#_Toc197003486)

[APPENDIX B – Past Mitigation Actions Updates 51](#_Toc197003487)

[APPENDIX C – Meetings Agendas and Notes 63](#_Toc197003488)

[APPENDIX D – Community Survey Questions 26](#_Toc197003489)

[APPENDIX E – Certificate of Adoption 32](#_Toc197003490)

[Table 1: Green Mountain Power Average Annual Outage Data for 2019-2023 8](#_Toc197003491)

[Table 2 - Plan Development Timeline and Process 11](#_Toc197003492)

[Table 3 - Existing Plans, Studies, Reports and Technical Information 13](#_Toc197003493)

[Table 4 - Federally declared disasters in Windham County 15](#_Toc197003494)

[Table 5 - Community Hazard Risk Assessment 17](#_Toc197003495)

[Table 6: Location-Vulnerable Assets-Extent-Impact-Probability 29](#_Toc197003496)

[Table 7 - Mitigation Action Evaluation and Prioritization 35](#_Toc197003497)

[Figure 1- Source FEMA LHMP Skill Share Workshop 2021 5](#_Toc197003498)

[Figure 2 – State Map locating Grafton 6](#_Toc197003499)

[Figure 3- Critical Facilities and Flood Hazard Area 10](#_Toc197003500)

[Figure 4 - Flood Ready Map of Grafton Village 21](#_Toc197003501)

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The impact of expected, but unpredictable natural events can be reduced through community planning and action. The goal of this Plan is to advance mitigation investment to reduce risks posed by natural hazards and to increase the Town of Grafton’s resilience to natural hazard impacts.

Hazard mitigation is any sustained action or policy that reduces or eliminates long-term risk to people and property from natural hazards and their effects. Natural and human-caused hazards may affect a community at any time; they are not usually avoidable, however, their impact on human life and property can be reduced through community planning. The goal of this Plan is to help the community identify risks and provide local mitigation strategies it can take to make Grafton more disaster resilient.

# 2 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Plan is to assist the town in identifying hazards that affect the town, assessing the risk and vulnerability to these hazards. Identify strategies to reduce or eliminate these hazard risks and to create a more resilient town. This plan will focus on the hazards and mitigation programs best suited for the town.

The Town of Grafton seeks to be in accordance with the strategies, goals, and objectives of the 2023 Vermont State Hazard Mitigation Plan. This updated Plan has been reorganized and updated to meet this goal.





Figure - Source FEMA LHMP Skill Share Workshop 2021

# 3 COMMUNITY PROFILE

## Land Use – Land Features - Development Patterns

Grafton is a small rural historic New England town located in the northcentral part of Windham County, in southern Vermont. It is bounded on the north by Chester in Windsor County, on the east by Rockingham, on the south by Townshend and Athens, and on the west by Windham. The Town of Grafton has a total area of 36 square miles and is still relatively undeveloped, with most of its land in resource-related or low-intensity uses. Rugged topography and distance from commercial and resort areas have influenced the Town’s quiet rural character and New England charm. It has a centrally located historic village surrounded by predominantly rural single-family residential development, both permanent and vacation. Residential dwellings lie along winding secondary roads, most of them narrow and unpaved.

Because of its rough topography, Grafton is still relatively undeveloped, with most development being residential homesteads established long ago. Most of the land is in resource-related or low-intensity uses of various kinds. With a few exceptions, the off-road backlands have remained undeveloped since the middle of the nineteenth century.

Current data shows that residential land use is mostly single-family, both permanent and vacation. Commercial and agricultural land is quite limited. Comparable to the agricultural downtrend in most southern Vermont hill towns, Grafton’s commercial agricultural land use has declined, thus making it very important to keep what agricultural land there is available. Grafton’s remaining land is mostly forested or open. Forest-related land use is a significant part of Grafton life, including commercial and non-commercial logging, hunting, fishing, hiking, horseback riding, bicycling, and winter sports, or just for general recreation and scenic pleasure.

Figure 2 – State Map locating Grafton


Grafton Village is the only area designated as a Village Area in the Town. The Village is the most densely settled part of the Town. The current mix of retail uses, public facilities and institutions, and residential uses should be continued and supported as much as possible. A major portion of Grafton’s economic development should occur in the Village, as it is centrally located, and historically has been the Town's economic center. Appropriate reuse of vacant or underused existing structures or infill development is the preferred means by which new growth should be accommodated. Infill development should respect the historic character and function of the area. Efforts to enhance the pedestrian-friendly character are encouraged. The existing density should be maintained or even increased to support its function as the heart of the Town.

The Village looks today much like it did 150 years ago with most circa. 1850 structures restored to their natural beauty. There are 90 structures throughout the town that are listed on the Vermont State Register of Historical Sites. Some notable structures include the Grafton Inn, the White Church, the Brick Meeting House, the Kidder Hill Covered Bridge, the library, Town Hall, and many of the residential homes. The Grafton Village Cheese Company has earned a name beyond the town borders.

In addition to Grafton Village, there are two hamlets: Cambridgeport in the Southeast, and Houghtonville in the Northwest. These are less densely populated than the Village, but more densely populated than the rest of the town. With a few exceptions, the off-road backlands have remained undeveloped since the middle of the nineteenth century.

## Demographics and Growth Potential

The population of Grafton has grown slowly over the past forty years, however the 2020 U.S. Census Bureau indicated a population of 645, a decrease of 5% from the 2010 census. Prior there had been a 4.2 % increase from the 2000 census. As is true for the state, the overall population for the region is aging. The fastest growing age group is 55 to 64 years of age. Median age of residents in Grafton has also risen over that period from 51 to 57 years, while Windham County median age is younger at 47 years.



## Precipitation and Water Features

As in the rest of Vermont, the climate in Grafton is generally temperate with moderately cool summers and cold winters. Average annual precipitation is around 40 inches and annual snowfall, averaging 80 inches, can be as much as 200 inches in a single winter. However, as is true throughout the state, the town is experiencing more extreme climate conditions. The weather is unpredictable, and large variations in temperature, precipitation, and other conditions may occur both within and between seasons.

Much of the Town’s terrain is rugged and forested with 25% slopes draining the headwaters of the Saxton’s River. The vast majority of the town lies within the Saxtons River watershed with its major tributaries converging with the mainstem in the village center on its way to the Connecticut to the east. The northeast corner of the town lies within the Williams River watershed.

## Water and Wastewater

Essentially all Town parcels are served by their own on-site water supply wells. The Town also has an existing 10-inch and 8-inch diameter non-potable water distribution system from the Fire Pond to most of the Village. In the absence of a public sewer system, domestic waste disposal is dependent on private parcel waste water disposal systems. There is a limited capability of existing systems in the Town to handle additional septic capacity. This will restrict future growth in the Village area and force development into the surrounding rural areas. Consideration of a water supply and or centralized wastewater disposal system for the Village may still be necessary in the future.

## Transportation

Grafton has just under 50 miles of maintained Town roads. There are no State Roads. but State aid is available for Class 2 and Class 3 roads. In general, Class 2 roads are paved and Class 3 roads are gravel. Footpaths exist throughout the Village and Village Park. The Grafton Selectboard and State Assistant District Engineer classify current Grafton roads as follows:

* Travelled Highways (maintained and in use)
* Class 2 Roads 18.3 miles
* Class 3 Roads 30.6 miles
* Total Travelled Highways 48.9 Miles
* Untraveled Highways (discontinued or unmaintained roads, legal trails)
* Class 4 Roads 9.16 miles
* Legal Trails 5.02 miles

There are four access corridors into Grafton Village: Route 121 heading west from Bellows Falls or heading east from Windham, Townshend Road from the south, and Chester Road from the north. All four of these corridors are extremely rural with acres of open land and no public services or private business.

Grafton has 43 bridges, many of which were built in 1939 after the 1938 hurricane known as the Great Long Island – New England Hurricane or the Long Island Express Hurricane. Eighteen bridges within Grafton have a span of 20 feet or more and are inspected by the State every two years. There are 25 bridges between 6 and 20 feet. Under new Federal regulations, any bridge 20 feet or over is eligible for Federal funding assistance. This funding is necessary, particularly when emergency flood events critically damage these structures. Grafton has one functioning covered bridge, the Kidder Hill Bridge, spanning 66 feet. This bridge is on the National Register of Historic Places. The original bridge, built in 1870, was replaced with a new wooden covered structure in April 1995.

## Electric Utility Distribution

Table : Green Mountain Power Average Annual Outage Data for 2019-2023

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Average Annual Outage Data (2019-2023) | |
| Average number of outages per customer per year | 7.68 outages per year |
| Total outage duration per customer | 48.99 hours per year |
| Average length of each outage | 6.38 hours per outage |

Green Mountain Power reports there are 552 meters within the Town of Grafton. Based on the above data, this represents an annual amount of 27,044 Customer Hours without power over the five-year interval between 2019-2023.

## Public Safety

**Fire Department**

The intent of the Grafton Firefighter’s Association is to provide the Town with the best level of fire protection that its resources will allow. First it protects lives and then property. It will work with any and all other emergency services in or out of Grafton to mitigate any emergency to which it is called. The Village of Grafton has a fire pond and a gravity-fed system of hydrants that service the Village area. The Fire Department is a small, totally volunteer department consisting of two fire trucks and approximately a 21 firefighters. They own the fire station building and the land it is on. The Fire Department is funded by allocations from Town taxes and by private contributions

**EMS**

The Grafton Rescue Squad is an organization of about 15 volunteers that provides emergency medical services to the Town of Grafton and other surrounding communities when called for mutual aid. The service is licensed by the Vermont State Department of Health and staffed by unpaid volunteers trained to standards and certified by the State of Vermont. The service is a first response unit that performs initial patient treatment. Squad members are on call 24 hours a day and dispatched by the Southwestern N.H. District Fire Mutual Dispatch Center in Keene, N.H.

Patient transport to the hospital is provided by Golden Cross, a commercial ambulance service under contract with the Town of Grafton.

Squad members also play a key role in public education for the care and prevention of illness and injury (e.g. CPR training).

**Law Enforcement**

Grafton is served by an appointed part-time constable that is non-carrying. This is the law enforcement officer for the Town (24 VSA § 1031). The State Police are on call for emergencies from the Westminster Barracks. Additionally, the Town has contracted with Windham County Sheriff’s Department for speed enforcement and other law enforcement.

## Emergency Management

Grafton has a Town Emergency Planning Committee. As stated in the 2015 emergency management ordinance the Selectboard appoints the Emergency Management Director and is assisted by two Deputies and an Emergency Management Coordinator. Preparation for disasters also continues in local organizations such as the fire department, search and rescue, Vermont Agency of Transportation, the County Sheriff, and the State Police. These are strong organizations, and as responders they continue to provide excellent service; however, preparedness is not only about response to emergencies, but preparing for emergencies, recovering from emergencies, and finding ways to successfully mitigate the impact of future emergencies and disasters.

Essential Facilities are identified in the Local Emergency Management Plan include the Town Hall or Town garage as the emergency operations centers and Elementary School and Town Hall as potential community shelters but also recommends the area Red Cross Shelter.

## Critical Facilities

The planning committee identified several critical facilities in Grafton. These facilities provide important services to the community, such as basic government functions, water and power services, and schools. Some of these facilities can also serve additional roles during an emergency, including as a shelter for displaced residents, a staging area for emergency response and recovery activities, or a location for important Town administration functions. This also includes the manufactured home communities such as Brookside, Hillside Mano and Lazy Brook. Damage to these facilities can impair response and recovery operations and may lead to a disruption of vital services for Grafton residents.

## Dams

According to the Vermont Dam Inventory (VDI), Grafton has no high hazard potential dams but does have 1 privately owned low hazard recreational earthen dam on the Weaver Brook within the Saxtons River basin. The Holbrook dam is a 397 x 14-foot earthen recreation dam has been in fair condition, with no change after the 2023 flood event. See **Figure 3** for location.

A map of a road

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

Figure - Critical Facilities and Flood Hazard Area

# 4 PLANNING PROCESS

## Plan Developers

The Town assembled a Hazard Mitigation Planning Team to participate in updating the Plan. Team members included: Town Administrator, Emergency Management Director, Fire Chief, Road Foreman, Planning Commission, Town Health Office, Selectboard member, EMC, and Town Clerk.

The Town hired SEAM Solutions to assist with this Plan update. FEMA Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) funds supported this process.

## Plan Development Process

The 2025 Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is an update to the 2019 single jurisdiction mitigation plan. A summary of the process taken to develop the 2025 update is provided in **Table 2**.

Table - Plan Development Timeline and Process

|  |
| --- |
| May 9-29, 2024 – Worked with Town Administrator to develop planning committee members, initial community outreach and set a date and time for the kick off meeting. |
| June 13, 2024 - Kickoff Meeting – Thirteen people attended included residents, members of the selectboard, planning commission, Town Clerk/Treasurer, Administrator, EMD and representatives of the school board and local church. Attendess were provided with an overview of what hazard mitigation is, the plan update process. Discussion of current plan and what can be added to improve the new plan. Green Mountain Power will be contacted to generate information relative to power outages since the existing plan was adopted. Fire and Rescue will be contacted to provide input for the new LHMP. Meeting schedule was set and the task of updating the first three sections was identified and was sent out to the committee to review. |
| June 2024 – Announcement in the Grafton News of the LHMP update and encourage committee participation along with posting on the Town’s website and Town bulletin boards. |
| July 18, 2024 – Discussion and updates on Public Outreach methodologies to be all inclusive, especially toward the vulnerable population. Conversations relative to the Community Profile including confirmation there are no public water/wastewater utilities, response to Town by local Sheriff and/or Vermont State Police. A list of critical facilities was reviewed to be included in the new LHMP. The committee identified a list of community stakeholders to include; Grafton Community Church, The Grafton Inn/Phelps Barn, Grafton General Store, Windham Foundation, Grafton Outing Club, the elementary school, Grafton Cares, fire and rescue departments, Grafton Improvement Association. |
| August 15, 2024 – Discussed and identified list of critical facilities. Reviewed the community survey questions and performed the ranking of hazards. |
| September 4, 2024 – Community survey sent to residents – received 12 responses  An email was received from a concerned resident regarding under spent FEMA community wide disaster prevention funds. |
| September 26, 2024 – Final review of critical facilities and hazard rankings, as well as reviewed actions and goals from the previous LHMP. The committee is looking at the capabilities of local response teams as well as areas for improvement in the areas of policy and planning, administration, financial along with education and outreach. |
| September 30, 2024 – Plan update notification sent out to surrounding towns. |
| October 17, 2024 - Looked at the Town Plan and any new zoning regulations and how they need to be incorporated into the new LHMP and other plans. Started considering future actions to be included in updated plan.  Received feedback from a member of the selectboard concerning the money spent on response versus prevention and focus on river bank stabilization. |
| November 21, 2024 – Continued work on identifying future actions as they apply to the ranked hazards, along with assigning lead, cost and prioritization. |
| January 23, 2025 – Reviewed community survey to ensure the plan aligns with the communities’ thoughts and concerns. Finalized future actions, lead party, cost, and prioritization. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

In addition to the local knowledge of the Planning Committee members and other relevant parties, several existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information were utilized in the preparation of this Plan. A summary of these is provided in **Table 3.**

|  |
| --- |
| [**2020 Town Plan**](https://graftonvt.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/2020-2028-Town-Plan-as-adopted-by-the-voters-1-13-2020.pdf)Referenced to develop the Community Profile, Capabilities, Integrating into Existing Plans and Procedures, Mitigation Strategy Updates – Changes Since 2018 Plan in Section 6. |
| **2024 Local Emergency Management Plan** Primarily used to identify local organizations that support vulnerable populations to ensure these organizations are invited to participate in the plan update along with updating the Section 3. |
| **2007 Flood Damage Prevention Regulations (Rev 2020)** Referenced to develop the Community Profile, Capabilities, Integrating into Existing Plans and Procedures, Mitigation Strategy Updates – Changes Since 2018 Plan in Section 6, NFIP participation and regulation. |
| **2009 Subdivision Regulations (Rev 2018)** Referenced to develop the Community Profile, Capabilities, Integrating into Existing Plans and Procedures, Mitigation Strategy Updates – Changes Since 2018 Plan in Section 6, NFIP participation and regulation. |
| **2024 Annual Report** Referenced to develop the Community Profile, Capabilities, Integrating into Existing Plans and Procedures, Mitigation Strategy Updates – Changes Since 2018 Plan in Section 6, NFIP participation and regulation. |
| **2019-2023 Green Mountain Power Outage Data** Used to develop Table 2 in the Community Profile Section and identify potential vulnerabilities. |
| **2020 US Census Data** Used to develop the Demographics and Growth Potential information in Section 3. |
| **2021 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate** Used to develop the Demographics and Growth Potential information in Section 3. |
| [**2023 State of Vermont Hazard Mitigation Plan**](https://vem.vermont.gov/sites/demhs/files/documents/2023%20SHMP_Adopted%20Draft%20Jan2024.pdf)Primarily referenced to develop the risk assessment and profiles in Section 5. |
| [**2023 FEMA Local Mitigation Planning Handbook**](https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_local-mitigation-planning-handbook_052023.pdf) Used to ensure plan meets the Federal mitigation planning requirements, including those for addressing climate change. |
| [**2023 FEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program Policy Guide**](https://www.fema.gov/grants/mitigation/hazard-mitigation-assistance-guidance) Used to ensure plan meets the Federal mitigation planning requirements, including those for addressing climate change. |
| [**2021 Vermont Climate Assessment**](https://climateattribution.org/resources/2021-vermont-climate-assessment/)Referenced to develop the flood risk profile in Section 5. |
| **FEMA NFIP Insurance Reports** Used to determine how many structures are insured and describe NFIP compliance in Section 6. NOTE: Due to FEMA Region I concerns related to personally identifiable information (PII), NFIP repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss information is unavailable for this plan update. |
| [**2017 FEMA Region I Mitigation Ideas for Natural Hazards**](https://prd.blogs.nh.gov/dos/hsem/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/R1_Mitigation-Ideas_June-2017.pdf)Used to develop mitigation actions to address impacts from severe winter storms, high winds and floods. |
| [**2019 Road Erosion Inventory**](https://anrweb.vt.gov/DEC/IWIS/MRGPReportViewer.aspx?ViewParms=True&Report=Portal)Referenced to develop the risk profile in Section 5 and mitigation actions to address floods in Section 6. |
| [**VTrans Transportation Resilience Planning Tool**](https://roadfloodresilience.vermont.gov/#/map)Referenced to develop the risk profile in Section 5 and mitigation actions to address floods in Section 6. |
| [**Vermont Dam Inventory (VDI)**](https://anrweb.vt.gov/DEC/DamsInventory/ListDams.aspx)Referenced to develop the risk profile in Section 5 and mitigation actions to address floods in Section 6. |
| [**National Oceanic and Atmospheric (NOAA) National Climatic Data Center’s Storm Events Database**](https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/)Referenced to develop the risk profile and hazard history in Section 5. |
| [**FEMA Disaster Declarations for Vermont**](https://www.fema.gov/openfema-data-page/public-assistance-funded-projects-details-v1)Referenced to develop the risk profile and hazard history in Section 5. |
| [**OpenFEMA Dataset**](https://www.fema.gov/about/openfema/data-sets)**: Public Assistance Funded Project Summaries for Vermont** Referenced to develop the risk profile and hazard history in Section 5. |
| **Vermont Department of Health** Referenced to develop the risk profile in Section 5. |
| **Vermont Agency of Natural of Resources** Referenced to develop the risk profile in Section 5. |
| [**Vermont Agency of Natural of Resources Watershed Projects**](https://anrweb.vt.gov/DEC/cleanWaterDashboard/WPDSearch.aspx)Referenced to identifying completed and develop mitigation actions to address floods in Section 6 |

Table - Existing Plans, Studies, Reports and Technical Information

## Changes since the 2019 Plan

The 2019 local hazard mitigation planning effort analyzed natural and manmade hazards and the risk they posed to the Town of Grafton. The risk assessment resulted in the categorization based similarly to the current form of hazard ranking; probability and impact, however the list of hazards deviated somewhat from what was recognized in the 2018 State Hazard Mitigation Plan. In the 2019 plan; High winds, brush fire, wildland fire, structure fire, sever winter weather, erosion, hurricane/tropical storm, flood and ice jams were ranked as the community’s higher priority risk natural hazards. Actions proposed in 2019 focused on mitigating risks from flooding, erosion, wildland and structure fire along with actions that apply to all hazards.

While there has been a change in hazard priorities from the 2019 plan, the prior actions are reflective of the priorities identified in the 2025 plan. There were no specific actions identified for wind or ice jams and based on the last five years of historical weather data the Town chose to reduce the priority of wind and include ice jams under flooding. The 2019 plan also did not include invasive species or infectious disease, which have been included and ranked in the 2025 plan

The 2025 hazard ranking aligns with the State Hazard Mitigation Plan’s identified hazards that consolidate many of the hazards identified in the previous plan. Grafton recognizes that man-made events exist such as structure fire, hazmat spills, and highway crashes. Crucial to recognizing their threat to the community, however, there are other mechanisms such as the LEMP annexes that are better to deal with most short-term risks.

As the Town has sought to implement the 2019 mitigation strategy, they have looked for opportunities to incorporate information and recommendations from the 2019 Plan into other plans, programs, and procedures. They were successful in doing so in the Town Plan and Flood Damage Prevention Regulationswere undergoing updates at the same timeframe.

The Grafton Town Plan, adopted in 2020, serves as the Town’s framework and guide for reaching community goals, including those for how future growth and development should proceed. It includes flood resilience and land use policies and actions to support the goal of mitigating risks to public safety, critical infrastructure, historic structures, and municipal investments posed by flooding and fluvial erosion.

The Town Plan is the basis for local land use controls such as those in the Grafton Subdivision Regulations, adopted in 2009 and revised in 2018. Grafton’s Flood Damage Prevention Regulations, adopted in 2007 and revised in 2020 includes Flood Hazard Area to ensure the selection, design, creation, and use of development in these hazard areas is reasonably safe and accomplished in a manner that is consistent with public wellbeing, does not impair stream equilibrium, flood plain services, or the stream corridor.

In addition, Grafton made significant progress in completing other mitigation actions identified in the 2019 Plan – see **Appendix B**.

Generators have been installed at both Town’s designated shelters, the town office which also serves as the EOC and the elementary school.

The Kidder Hill dam was removed to reduce upstream erosion and flooding. The Town upsized five culverts to better accommodate stormwater and make the town roads more resilient to flash flooding and fluvial erosion that achieved the intended results and performed well during the July 2023 and 2024 storms. Other road improvements have been ongoing with the Municipal Roads General Permit projects. These mitigation investments have 1) strengthened the community’s Transportation lifeline; 2) reduced risk to infrastructure; and 3) supported Town efforts to comply with the Municipal Roads General Stormwater Discharge Permit and protect water quality by controlling erosion and stormwater runoff from municipal roads.

As described in the Community Profile above, Grafton’s population has been in slow decline since its peak in 2010 and growth potential is believed to be limited by a lack of developable land and public water and sewer utilities.

Changes in population and development since 2019 have not made Grafton more vulnerable to natural hazards and therefore are not the primary drivers for a shift in the Town’s mitigation priorities in 2025. Rather changing weather conditions due to climate change most influenced the Town’s current mitigation strategy.

The primary mitigation goal in the 2025 Plan is to increase the Town’s resilience to natural hazards by advancing mitigation investment to reduce or avoid long-term risk to people, homes, neighborhoods, the local economy, cultural and historic resources, ecosystems, and Community Lifelines.

When evaluating mitigation actions, the Town selected actions that support the mitigation goal and are acceptable and practical for the community to implement. Actions that directly benefit a vulnerable population were assigned a high prioritization score – see **Table 8.**

# 5 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT

## Local Vulnerabilities and Risk Assessment

One of the most significant changes from the 2019 Plan is the way hazards are assessed. To be consistent with the approach to hazard assessment in the 2023 State Hazard Mitigation Plan, the Hazard Mitigation Planning Team conducted an initial analysis of known natural hazard events in the Town of Grafton. While there have been 16 FEMA disasters, and two emergencies declared in Windham County since 2000 only 5 have (one emergency declaration) affected the Town of Grafton **(see Table 4**). This analysis aided in determining their probability of occurring in the future (high probability events are **in a darker shade of blue** in **Table 5**).

**Bold Disasters are specific to Grafton**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Federal Disaster Declarations: Windham County 1970 – 2024(current)** | | |
| **FEMA Disaster Number** | **Date of Declaration** | **Description** |
| **4720** | **July 14, 2023** | **Flood** |
| **4621** | **September 29, 2021** | **Flood** |
| 4356 | January 2, 2018 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| 4343 | November 8, 2011 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| **4022** | **September 1, 2011** | **Tropical Storm Irene** |
| EM -3338 | August 29, 2011 | Hurricane Irene |
| 1816 | January 14, 2009 | Severe Winter Storm |
| **1698** | **May 4, 2007** | **Severe Storms and Flooding** |
| 1559 | September 23, 2004 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| **1488** | **September 12, 2003** | **Severe Storms and Flooding** |
| **EM-3167** | **April 10, 2001** | **Snow** |
| 1336 | July 27, 2000 | Severe Storms and Flooding |
| 1307 | November 10, 1999 | Tropical Storm Floyd |
| 1124 | June 27, 1996 | Extreme Rainfall and Flooding |
| 1101 | February 13,1996 | Ice Jams and Flooding |
| 518 | August 5, 1976 | Severe Storms, High Winds and Flooding |
| 397 | July 6, 1973 | Severe Storms, Flooding and Landslides |
| 277 | August 30, 1969 | Severe Storms and Flooding |

Table - Federally declared disasters in Windham County

The Team then ranked the impacts associated with the natural hazard events based on 1) probability of occurrence and 2) potential impact to people, infrastructure, the environment, and local economy.

This assessment considered the effects of future conditions, like climate change, on the type, location, and range of intensities of identified hazards.

The ranking results are presented in **Table 5** and reflect the following **highest risk hazard impacts** that the Town believes they are most vulnerable to:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A house with waves in a blue circle  Description automatically generated | ***Floods*** *associated with thunder and/or winter storms and ice jams.* |  | ***Invasive Species*** *such as Japanese Knotweed, Poison Parsnip and Emerald Ash Borer* |
| A blue circle with a white snowflake and thermometer  Description automatically generated | ***Ice – Snow - Cold*** *associated with severe winter storms.* |  |  |

Each of the **highest risk hazard impacts** are profiled in this section. Lower risk hazards impacts do justify mitigation but to a lesser extent due to a low probability of occurrence and/or low impact. See the 2023 State Hazard Mitigation Plan if you are interested in more information on the lower risk hazards.

Hail and Earthquakes were decided by the planning team to be outside of the realm of justification within our region for mitigation actions. Hail being that the historic record of damage being primarily minimal and to vehicles. Earthquakes even though experienced also are historically small in Vermont do occur but were deemed to be of minimal threat and with no building codes basically impossible for a town to develop effective mitigation strategies. See the State Hazard Mitigation Plan for information on these and other lower risk hazards.

High Winds ranked as number one in the 2019 plan dropped into the lower risk category in the 2025 plan. This was based on the lack of declared disasters for wind, the lack of events since 2002 in the VTSHMP Disaster History Table, NOAAs recording one wind event in the last five years and the lack of actions identified in the 2019 for wind mitigation. However, the addition of invasive species hazard in 2025 and the associated actions, many of which align and benefit wind mitigation activities.

Similarly, brush, wildland and structure fires ranked high in the 2019 plan, however the lack of events also places, the currently recognized hazard of, wildfires into the lower risk hazard category and many of the actions pertained to structure fires. No less important but tend to be isolated incidents that are unlikely expand to the level of a declaration.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2025 Hazard Mitigation Plan Hazard Assessment** | | | | | | | | |
| **Hazard Impact** | **Probability** | **Potential Impact** | | | | | **Score** | **Rank** |
| Infrastructure | Life | Economy | Environment | Average |
| Flash flooding | **4** | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | **3.5** | **14** | **1** |
| Ice | **4** | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | **2.75** | **11** | **2** |
| Snow | **4** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | **2.5** | **10** | **3** |
| Cold | **4** | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | **2.25** | **9** | **4** |
| Invasive Species | **4** | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | **2.25** | **9** | **4** |
| Drought | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2.75 | 8.25 |  |
| Fluvial Erosion | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.25 | 6.75 |  |
| Heat | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Wildfire | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 |  |
| Infectious Disease | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.75 | 3.5 |  |
| Wind | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 |  |
| Landslide/slope failure | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 |  |
| Earthquake | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Inundation Flooding | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 | 1.25 |  |
| Hail | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 | 1.25 |  |

\*Score = Probability x Average Potential Impact

Table - Community Hazard Risk Assessment

A white rectangular box with black text

Description automatically generated

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PROPOSED 2025 Hazard Mitigation Plan Hazard Assessment** | | | | | | | | |
| **Hazard Impact** | **Probability** | **Potential Impact** | | | | | **Score** | **Rank** |
| Infrastructure | Life | Economy | Environment | Average |
| **Flash flooding** | **4** | **3** | **1** | **3** | **3** | **3.5** | **14** | **1** |
| **Fluvial Erosion** | **4** | **3** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **3.25** | **13** | **2** |
| **Inundation Flooding** | **4** | **3** | **1** | **3** | **2** | **3.25** | **13** | **2** |
| **Ice** | **4** | **2** | **1** | **2** | **2** | **2.75** | **11** | **3** |
| **Snow** | **4** | **2** | **1** | **1** | **2** | **2.5** | **10** | **4** |
| **Cold** | **4** | **1** | **1** | **2** | **1** | **2.25** | **9** | **5** |
| **Invasive Species** | **4** | **1** | **1** | **1** | **2** | **2.25** | **9** | **5** |
| Drought | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2.75 | 8.25 | 6 |
| Heat | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| Wildfire | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Infectious Disease | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.75 | 3.5 | 9 |
| Wind | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 10 |
| Landslide/slope failure | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 10 |
| Earthquake | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 11 |
| Hail | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 12 |

## Highest Risk Hazard Profiles

**A house with waves in the water

Description automatically generated**

**Flooding**

Both Flood and Erosion are profiled here as these hazards are intrinsically linked. **Flooding,** including **flash flooding, fluvial erosion** and overbank or **inundation flooding**, are significant natural hazard events for Windham County and Grafton. In the past plan flash flooding was characterized by intense, high velocity torrent of water that occurs in an existing river channel with little or no notice. In this plan has been expanded to include both fluvial erosion and flash flooding. Flash flooding includes rivers streams, intermittent streams and roadway drainage.

***The town is particularly susceptible to inundation flooding in***

***lower lying areas of the Village and also to flash flooding and fluvial erosion in***

***higher elevation areas.***

This type of flooding threatens high-elevation drainage areas and typically occurs during summer when a single or series of weather events result in excessive rainfall over a short period of time on already saturated soils from a spring melt. Flash floods can also be triggered by a dam breach causing further damage downstream. The damage from spring flooding events can vary greatly depending upon the amount of precipitation, snow cover, spring melt, soil saturation, existing erosion and topography.

***Road infrastructure within the narrow stream valleys receive***

***drainage from the higher elevations and are often the most***

***vulnerable to damage from flash flooding.***

**Although these are not frequent events, hazards posed can be significant as seen with the state-wide**

**flooding from Tropical Storm Irene in the summer of 2011.**

**Inundation or overbank flooding** occurs in lower lying areas when water levels rise overflowing the banks of a river or stream. In hilly or mountainous areas, drainage from higher elevations flows to the lower reaches or valleys of a watershed. These waters often carry with it debris which can block culverts or a bridge underpass. Instances of inundation type flooding can occur long after precipitation has ended or when no precipitation has occurred, such as an extreme winter warming event causing river ice to melt resulting in ice jams obstructing the flow of river waters.

**Fluvial Erosion**, which often accompanies flood events, is the predominant form of flood damage in Vermont and in mountain valley towns like Grafton. Rivers are dynamic and move both water and sediment. As a result, river channels may move vertically or horizontally. High flows can cause sediment to become detached from a riverbed or riverbank, which can range from gradual bank erosion or massive slope failure to catastrophic changes in river channel location and dimension. The sediment and stone that is dislodged can expose tree roots and wash away vegetative buffers which are carried downstream

blocking culverts and bridges causing further flood damage.

**Location:** Vermont Agency of Natural Resources has mapped River Corridors for the Saxtons River stream segments along with SFHA which are shown **Figure 3** and can be found on-line.Special flood hazard areas are currently being modified to more closely reflect the valley topography, history of flood events and will allow for improved identification of hazard areas.

Grafton has the Saxton’s River which is approximately a 20 mile waterflow that sends the watershed easterly to the Connecticut River. The Saxton’s River has had extremely high water velocities during the recent flood events of July 2023 and July 2024. During the July 2024 event, there were bridges crossing the Saxton’s River that were closed for fear of a water level breech or potential catastrophic damage caused to the structures.

**Extent:** Rain events going back to the 1927 flood have been occurring more frequently due to climate change. The volume of rainfall in recent years has increased dramatically per event

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Flood Hazard History**  These are the most up to date significant events  impacting Windham County. Windham’s Federal Declarations are depicted in **bold**.  **7/14/2023: Heavy rain: DR4720: $265,000**  12/23/2022: Heavy rain; $20,000  4/8/2022: Heavy rain: $5,000  **7/29/2021: heavy rain: DR4621 $500,000**  12/25/2020: Heavy rain/snow melt: $10,000  4/15/2019: Heavy rain; $1,000  1/24/2019: Heavy rain/snow melt: unknown  2/25/2016: Heavy rain/snow melt: $10,000  **9/1/2011: Tropical Storm: DR4022 $3,281,210**  3/7/2011: Ice Jam: unknown  3/8/2008: Ice Jam; $15,000  **5/4/2007: DR 1698: $27,593**  10/9/2005: Heavy rain: unknown  4/3/2005: Heavy rain: unknown  4/1/2004**:** Heavy rain: unknown  **9/12/2003: DR 1488: $134,207**  2/28/2000: Heavy rain: unknown | A broken down road with a tree branch  AI-generated content may be incorrect.  **According to the VT ERAF report, 89 buildings are in the Special Flood Hazard Area; mostly single family dwellings and mobile homes**  **According to FEMA, 6% of these properties have flood insurance.**  **There are X repetitive loss properties with X of them being insured** |
|  |  |

**Future Probability:** Predicted climate changes include higher frequency and severity of rainstorms. With severe rainstorms as Grafton’s highest concern, taking no action for this predicted future condition could be catastrophic.

**Vulnerability Summary:** Grafton is vulnerable to both **Inundation** and **Flash Flooding** asdescribed above. Both flood hazard types rely on natural floodplains to disburse flood waters and reduce their potentially disastrous impact. Floodplains provide important social, economic and ecological functions. They are areas where human structures and critical transportation infrastructure are at risk. River Corridors are dynamic areas where a great deal of damage can also occur during flooding disasters.


**Fluvial Erosion** along rivers and streams is the predominant form of flood damage in Vermont. The area’s most vulnerable to fluvial erosion are those that were triggered by Tropical Storm Irene and exacerbated by subsequent storms.

In Grafton, the Saxtons River mainstem riverbanks have been armored to protect against erosion over the years. However, these practices have destabilized these river reaches making them more prone to the development of temporary flood chutes or a dramatic avulsion which is the rapid abandonment of an established river channel and the formation of a new permanent river course in the adjacent floodplain. A severe channel migration outside of the river corridor and valley wall occurred at the intersection Houghtonville Rd. and Cabell Rd. during Tropical Storm Irene.

Many areas impacted by Tropical Storm Irene continue to be problem areas vulnerable to recurring minor flooding during a hard rain. Floodwaters overwhelmed road infrastructure at these sites, within and outside of the SFHA, and washed away a house located upstream of the Howland Mill bridge, and a garage upstream of the Fire House. Several Grafton homes were damaged or destroyed; three of which received FEMA funding for property buyouts. The Town Garage was also damaged and was relocated out of the flood zone.

Given the historic social and economic function of river valleys it is no surprise that the majority of the town community assets would be located in or near these flood prone areas.

The flood map below of Grafton Village in **Figure 4** shows structural assets (white squares) located in flood hazard areas (highlighted in red) and river corridor areas (highlighted in yellow). Most of the structures, residential, public, and commerce, are concentrated along the Saxtons River which runs through the center of the village. Development in these areas is vulnerable to flood and erosion with the risk of re-channelization during high flow events.



**Previous location of Town Garage**

Figure - Flood Ready Map of Grafton Village

**A blue circle with a white snowflake and thermometer

Description automatically generatedIce - Snow – Cold**

According to the SHMP “Ice Storms are characterized by ice accretion from freezing rain, which can weigh down trees and power lines, causing outages and potentially occurring in conjunction with flooding.”

Winter storms with snow, ice, and extreme cold in varying combinations are commonplace in Grafton and occur statewide. Heavy accumulation of snow accompanied by high winds causes drifting of snow and low visibility making it difficult to keep roads cleared. Sidewalks, streets, and highways can become extremely hazardous to pedestrians and motorists.

Heavy wet snows of early fall and late spring, as well as ice storms, can result in property damage and in loss of electric power, leaving people without adequate heating capability. Power loss is often the result of downed trees and power lines from the weight of wet snow, ice, or gusty winds. This type of infrastructure damage can also disrupt traffic and emergency response by making roads and driveways impassable.

Severe winter storms in the northeastern United States develop through the combination of weather and atmospheric conditions including the moisture content of the air, direction of airflow, collision of warm air masses coming up from the Gulf Coast, and cold air moving southward from the Arctic.

A winter storm is considered severe when there is a possibility of:

* + - Six or more inches of snow fall at a given location within 48 hours,
    - Property damage, injuries or deaths, or
    - An ice/glaze storm which causes property damage, injuries or death.

Severe winter storms bring the threat of heavy accumulations of snow, cold/wind chills, strong winds, and power outages that can result in high rates of damage. Although FEMA Disaster Declarations with greater damages have occurred in Central and Northern Vermont, Windham County in Southern Vermont experienced two FEMA Declarations qualifying for Public Assistance funding.

**Location**

The entire town is affected by ice, snow and cold.

**Extent**

A winter storm is considered severe when there is a possibility of:

* + - Six or more inches of snow fall at a given location within 48 hours,
    - Property damage, injuries or deaths, or
    - An ice/glaze storm which causes property damage, injuries or death.

At levels exceeding ½ inch of ice accumulation Grafton can experience power outages. Depending on the severity of the damage, power losses often continue for days. These conditions occur often enough that many town residents have a back-up power system installed.

In the region, extreme cold can still be an issue. Historic cold extremes in Grafton have reached as low as -35° F, with wind chill values dropping below -50° F during severe Artic outbreaks, as documented in NOAA regional data. These conditions pose risks of frostbite within minutes, freezing of uninsulated water lines, and have historically resulted in school closures and increased emergency heating needs in Windham County. If it is a long-lasting cold without snow cover, frost can migrate deep into the ground freezing pipes and heaving roadways. Most of this would be dealt with by the town either through their utility contracts or by the town road crew in keeping the transportation infrastructure in usable condition. Loss of power during one of these cold snaps may require use of the town shelter and is planned for in the town Local Emergency Management Plan.

What constitutes “extreme cold” can vary across different areas of the country based on what the population is accustomed to in their respective climates. Vermont is adapted to cold conditions; however very cold temperatures remain a threat despite their commonality during Vermont winters.

**Previous Occurrences**

According to the Vermont Disaster History by Event Table located in the 2023 SHMP and NOAA Storm Events Database there have been 2 ice events since 2000.

Eastern Windham 1/15/2007

Eastern Windham 12/11/2008

There have been over 50 recorded winter storm/weather events in Windham County since 2010 as recorded by NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). The descriptions of the winter events include type of precipitation, snow totals, ice accumulations, exceptional cold and wind speed data, and the extent of impact on the community where available. Unlike neighboring Windsor County to the north, which incurred close to $800,000 in property damages due to winter storm events over the same period, there were little or no damages recorded in Windham County as a result of any of these noted winter events. Grafton has had one declare event in 2000 that resulted in over $12,000 in public assistance.

For cold weather events the Town uses their shelters the same as associated with a power outage. Below are the 5 cold related listing from the SHMP of 2023.

Eastern Windham 1/23/2011 Cold Eastern Windham 2/13/2016 Cold

Eastern Windham 1/7/2015 Cold Eastern Windham 1/5/2018 Cold

Eastern Windham 2/15/2015 Cold

**Future Probability**

According to the 2023 National Climate Assessment “Changes in some types of storms are also apparent. Over the past three decades, heavy snowfalls have been more frequent over the Northeast, a trend consistent with warming in the western Atlantic Ocean and increasingly frequent Arctic air outbreaks from polar vortex disruptions. Atmospheric rivers along the Pacific Coast have become warmer over the past several decadesand have transported larger amounts of moisture into the West because of increases in Pacific Ocean temperatures. “

**Vulnerability Summary** (what parts of the community are most likely to be affected)

Potential losses from winter storms are mostly indirect and can be difficult to quantify or predict. Damage from snow and ice storms can vary depending upon snow or ice accumulation, wind speeds, storm duration, tree cover, and structural conditions.

*For example, large, flat roofed structures or aged structures in deteriorating conditions are most vulnerable to collapse under heavy snow and/or ice accumulation.*

Most roofs can withstand 20 pd/sf of snow which equates to approximately 3 to 4 feet of fresh snow or a foot of heavy wet snow. A season’s worth of snowfall, however, can be well above what a typical roof will support, particularly if there have been layers of old snow and ice.

In addition to accumulating snow, drifting snow and low visibility during high intensity snow and ice storms can become extremely hazardous for pedestrians and motorists. Also, warming trends have led to a greater frequency of freezing rain followed by flash freezing causing black ice to form on paved roadways which are typically the major thoroughfares in the region.

One of the greatest impacts on infrastructure from snow and ice storms is typically the loss of power due to downed powerlines caused by the weight of either heavy wet snow or ice load.

Vermont communities and municipal road crew are generally well prepared to handle heavy snowfall. However, it is typically the secondary hazards that are most concerning to the town. Depending on the event, particularly with heavy, wet snow or ice, electricity may be knocked out for a few hours or days due to downed powerlines from falling trees. This is a time when residents are most vulnerable to structure fire hazards. Many residents heat their homes with open flame heating sources including fireplaces and wood or pellet stoves and will supplement with electric or kerosene space heaters.

*Extended periods of extreme cold or loss of power during the winter months require continued vigilance on the safety of heating to reduce the risk of a structure fire as a secondary hazard.*



**Invasive Species** are becoming a widespread problem throughout Grafton and the rest of Vermont. Damages range from skin blistering and scarring in the case of poison parsnip, to the devastating effect the Asian Longhorn Beetle (ALB) or Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) could have on Grafton’s Forest products industry and village landscape**.**

The Grafton Hazard Mitigation Committee (GHMC) pointed out that much of the spread of unwanted invasive plants is along roadsides and has entered the town via state highways. Flying insect invasives will be far more widespread due to the mobility of these pests and could strike anywhere in the community where their hosts live (Ash for Emerald Ash Borer and Maple for Asian Longhorned Beetle). From small woodlots to large-tract forests, all forested land is susceptible.

Widespread establishment of Wild or Poison Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa*) along roadsides and/or open fields can effectively remove those areas for recreational purposes through much of the summer months. Once contracted, many are quite hesitant to venture far from cleared paths and given the non-developed nature of much of Vermont’s attraction for tourists, could heavily impact future visits.

Ash trees are the source for hardwood that can bend and withstand considerable stress. Historically, ash has been the source for axe handles, hockey sticks and baseball bats. It is a component of timber harvesting in Vermont and provides that industry with a moneymaking product. Spread of the Emerald Ash Borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) (EAB) into Vermont’s forests would have a significant impact on timber values. The Emerald Ash Borer Strategic Plan Committee was established in 2020 by the Selectboard with the mission to update and broaden the 2014 street tree inventory to identify the location and condition of all ash trees on public properties, including along neighborhood streets, within public parks, and along roadways. This committee also identified locations, established priorities and timelines for removal of ash trees

A third invasive of immediate concern to Vermont is the Asian Longhorned Beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*) (ALB) which attacks and kills maple trees. Vermont is famous for its maple syrup and is the largest producer of maple products in the United States. Widespread loss of maple trees could result in the collapse of this iconic industry and a severe impact to the state’s economy.

Other invasives include Purple Loosestrife, Japanese Knotweed, Rock Snot and many others which all have a detrimental impact on the state’s native populations and the state’s ecological balance.

The most noticeable impact of invasives in Vermont began when a load of elm lumber was imported into this country from Europe in the early 1900s. Embedded in this load were spores of what we now call Dutch elm disease. At the time, the elm was the most popular street tree in the US due to its hardiness in many types of conditions. The loss of these trees which were liberally planted as shade trees in many village greens and along roadsides had an extreme impact both aesthetically and due to the loss of shade, in the overall use of electricity in summer months. Now, elms are uncommon in most of the northeast and the disease continues to spread westward.

Other examples include the importation of gypsy moth in an attempt to create locally grown silk, the spread of zebra mussels which threaten water intakes on infested water bodies and the unintentional importation of the Norway Rat in ships holds with early colonists. Each of these has had its own impacts on the economy and ecological stability of the US and Vermont.

With an increasing global economy, new and unknown invasives are sure to be imported from other countries in the future. In recognition of the inevitable spread of EAB and ALB into Vermont, trapping is being conducted by foresters and biologists along the border areas of Vermont. ALB is expected in Vermont within the next few years and damage caused by their spread is already anticipated by the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources. EAB was reported in the State of Vermont for the first time in early 2018 and State plans have been put into action.

Grafton isextremely vulnerable to the economic impacts of invasives and is limited in its ability to combat their spread. The community does what it can but is highly dependent on State and Federal agencies to slow down the spread of invasives. With a local economy highly focused on the forests and forest products, the community is highly at risk. From the 2023 State Hazard Mitigation Plan “A compounding hazard can impact the occurrence of other hazards days, weeks, or months later. Invasive species and extreme heat are two hazards which have been noted to cause major compounding and cross-cutting impacts. Invasive species can accelerate the frequency of landslides, wildfires, and infectious disease outbreaks.”

### Drought

Taking from the 2023 State Hazard Mitigation Plan “Drought is a deficiency of moisture that results in adverse impacts on people, animals, or vegetation over a sizeable area (NOAA National Weather Service) or a period of abnormally dry weather sufficiently long enough to cause a serious hydrological imbalance (American Meteorological Society).“

Droughts in the Northeast tend to be, what are referred to as “flash” droughts, defined as rapid onset of intense dry periods that can follow periods of normal or above normal precipitation. These may last from 2-6 months, and can have profound impacts within the region, on agricultural losses, shortages of water supply and very low stream flows. This pendulum often swings from a dry year to a wet year.

There have been 4 instances of D2 (Severe Drought) level droughts in southern Windham County Vt since 2000. Two in the Fall of 2022 and two in 2024, all of them lasting around a month. Even though there is a level of risk to the Town, the committee chose not to detail the hazard any further or identify any mitigation measures other than identified in the category of all hazards.

A screen shot of a chart

AI-generated content may be incorrect.

## Heat

Heat warnings are becoming increasingly more prevalent due to our shifting climate. Vermont has been seeing an increase in 90+ degree temperature days. This trend is expected to continue. Most of our housing stock and individuals are well adapted to dealing with cold temperatures, but the quick swings to higher temperatures do not allow for acclimation, and many of our structures are designed to retain, rather than shed, heat.

Epidemiological analyses completed by the Vermont Department of Health indicate that Vermonters are five times as likely to visit the emergency department for heat-related illnesses when the heat index reaches the 80s, 10 times as likely when the heat index reaches the low 90s, and over 20 times as likely when the heat index reaches the upper 90s or hotter. These risks are greatly modified by how acclimated a person is to hot weather – the risk for heat-related health impacts is higher early in the heat season, and lower if it has been consistently hot over the past week or more.

Consecutive days of hot weather with warm overnight temperatures further increase the risk of experiencing severe heat-related health impacts. Risk also depends on the “normal” level of heat experienced in an area – places that are relatively cooler will typically experience health impacts at lower heat index values than a place that is relatively warmer. June of 2024, Vermont saw forecasted heat index of 102. Below are the 5-heat related listing from the SHMP of 2023.

Windham 3/27/1998 Heat (70s and 80s)

Windham 12/2/1998 Heat (10 to 15 degrees above normal)

Windham 6/7/1999 Heat (90s with a heat index of 100 degrees)

Windham 7/4/1999 Heat (90s with a heat index of 100 degrees)

Windham 3/8/2000 Excessive Heat (60s to nearly 70 degrees)

Older adults, people with chronic health conditions, and people with disabilities are at particularly high risk, especially if they live in housing without air conditioning or are unhoused and cannot access cooling facilities and other support resources. The unhoused may not be or feel welcomed at cooling centers, sleep in hot tents, and carry heavy loads of their possessions in the heat. There is an increasing risk to multiday heat events in Grafton with a greater increase in heat warning. With there being at least 1 multiday heat advisory on average per year. Even though there is a level of risk to the Town, the committee chose not to detail the hazard any further or identify any mitigation measures other than identified in the category of all hazards.

## Wildfire

**Hazard Type** Wildfires are uncontrolled fires in natural areas. While Grafton benefits from the relatively moist conditions of Northeastern forests, drought periods in spring and fall elevate wildfire risk. Leaf litter and dry brush in these seasons increase fuel load, and wind can cause rapid fire spread. Forest closures have occurred three times statewide in the past 50 years due to extreme fire risk.

Location Townwide. With 95% forest cover and 5% of the town, all developed areas in Grafton fall within the wildland-urban interface. Homes along forested roads and remote cabins are particularly vulnerable.

Extent Due to a lack of recent historical occurrences, extent data is unavailable for Grafton.

**Previous Occurrences**

No major fires reported in Grafton in the last 50 years, but statewide closures of public lands have occurred three times in that period of time due to extreme fire danger.

**Probability of Future Events**

Wildfire events in Grafton are considered Occasional in Grafton, defined as a 1% to 10% probability of occurrence per year, or at least one chance in the next 100 years. Although Grafton has not experienced major wildfire events recently, increasing periods of drought and regional trends in fire weather raise the likelihood.

Expected townwide intensities range from: Small ground fires during dry leaf-litter seasons to potential moderate canopy fires during extended drought conditions.

With climate variability and predicted increases in dry weather during spring and fall, wildfire probability is expected to rise. Extended droughts may increase the frequency and intensity of future events, especially given the dense forest cover and topography.

**Vulnerability Summary**

* All structures are within forested areas, many along narrow, tree-lined roads.
* Emergency response may be delayed due to limited road access.
* Accumulated debris and deadfall during drought conditions increases fuel load.

**Mitigation Measures**

* + Continue tree trimming and debris clearing on town rights-of-way.
  + Encourage defensible space around structures.
  + Provide community wildfire education via Conservation Commission events.
  + Train fire department on forest fire response.
  + Monitor drought and fire risk via Vermont’s Fire Danger Rating system.

## Infectious Disease

This Plan must assess the risk of all hazards identified in the 2023 Vermont State Hazard Mitigation Plan, including infectious disease. The Planning Team did assess them the same as the natural hazards in **Table 5**, however, the team does recognize them as different than the natural hazards.

Infectious diseases are a diverse category of hazards. So, while their probability of occurrence in Grafton may be likely, potential impacts will be highly dependent on the specific infectious agent.

The Planning Team acknowledges that impacts to Grafton’s people, environment, and local economy from infectious disease could be significant. However, given the diverse nature of this hazard, they cannot be fully explored in this Plan.

Readers should look at the Vermont Department of Health for more information on significant infectious disease outbreaks, such as epidemics and pandemics.

## Wind

**Hazard Type** High Windscan be generated from a thunderstorm, hurricane or tropical depression, a localized microburst, or simply just a windstorm. Any of these events can produce wind gusts up to 50 mph or greater causing property damage and disruption in electric and telecommunication utilities, transportation, and commercial businesses. Although difficult to predict, these events also pose a high risk of injuries and loss of life.

National Weather Service warnings include:

* High Wind Warning: Take Action! Sustained, strong winds with even stronger gusts are happening. Seek shelter. If you are driving, keep both hands on the wheels and slow down.
* High Wind Watch: Be Prepared! Sustained, strong winds are possible. Secure loose outdoor items and adjust plans as necessary so you're not caught outside.
* Wind Advisory: Take Action! Strong winds are occurring but are not so strong as to warrant a High Wind Warning. Objects that are outdoors should be secured and caution should be taken if driving.

**Location**

Townwide. Heavily tree-lined roads. Buildings that are surrounded by trees. Power lines are located near tree lines.

**Extent**

16 wind events in Windham County have been recorded since 2000, that typically range from 40 to 60 mph. With one recorded event in 2006, where Stratton Mountain Ski Resort personnel recorded a wind gust of 143mph.

**Previous Occurrences**

According to the 2023 VSHMP there were seven recorded events in Windham County from 1997 – 2002 totaling $268,000 in damage, along with a few tornado events, none of them effected the Town of Grafton.

**Probability of Future Events**

While many other regions have been experiencing an increased frequency of microbursts, straight line winds and reported tornadoes Windham County has stayed consistent with wind events. The severity of all types of weather events usually comes with a component of high winds. However, climate change predictions would indicate that this type of wind event will potentially increase over the next few decades.

**Vulnerability Summary**

* Roads may become impassable from downed trees.
* Power outages can occur from downed trees.
* Barns, sheds, and metal-roofed buildings are at risk of losing their roofing.
* Emergency operations may be disrupted due to blocked roads.
* Older buildings’ roofs may be vulnerable.

**Mitigation Measures**

* Keep limbs and trees trimmed around power lines and buildings.
* Continue to partner with Green Mountain Power on alternative power initiatives.
* Continue upgrading the roofing.
* Promote backup power options.

## Landslide/slope failure

A landslide is the sliding of a large mass of rock, earth, or debris, down a sloped section of land. Landslides can be caused by rainstorms, fires, alternate freezing or thawing and/or by the steepening of slopes by erosion or human modification. In Grafton, landslides tend to occur or are exacerbated by fluvial erosion as most of the landslides occur on or near a stream bank, or during extreme wet conditions in areas of clay substrate.

Landslides have three major causes: geology, morphology, and human activity. Geology refers to characteristics of the material itself. The earth or rock might be weak or fractured, or different layers may have different strengths and stiffness.

Morphology refers to the structure of the land. For example, slopes that lose their vegetation to fire or drought are more vulnerable to landslides. Vegetation holds soil in place, and without the root systems of trees, bushes, and other plants, the land is more likely to slide away. Human activity, such as agriculture and construction, can increase the risk of a landslide. Irrigation, deforestation, excavation, and water leakage are some of the common activities that can help destabilize, or weaken, a slope.

The Town of Grafton has 6 landslide locations within the town that occurred in the 2008-2009 time frame, as listed on the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources Landslide map that was last updated in 2020.

Total damages for landslides are not tracked well within the State of Vermont since often landslides are in association with Fluvial Erosion the damages are often lumped together there. With the increase in precipitation trends due to climate change the risk from landslides is increasing. This can be addressed through land use regulations and mitigation of surface runoff from human actions and development. Historically landslides in Grafton have been small at ~0.2 of an acre or less.

***The Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment is the foundation for the Mitigation Strategy to***

**Hazards**

* Location
  + Extent

-Past Occurrence

* + Future Probability

**RISK**

**Community Assets**

- People

* Infrastructure
* Environment

- Economy

***reduce future risk.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Vulnerability Summary** | |
| **Flash Flooding**  **Vulnerable Assets:** people (especially older adults, children, and sick); highway infrastructure; buildings; public water system; dams; municipal park; lakes; local businesses  **Location:** Town-wide, Lake Champlain, Old Hollow Rd.; Robinson Rd.  **Extent:** ± 9” rain; extent data for fluvial erosion is unavailable  **Past Occurrence:** >$40,000 local / $6,893,579 regional damage  **Future Probability:** >75% probability in a year | **Ice/Snow/Cold**  **Vulnerable Assets:** people (especially older adults, children, and sick); highway infrastructure; buildings; power lines; telecommunications systems; public water system; trees; local businesses  **Location:** Town-wide; Lake Champlain shoreline  **Extent:** Up to 68 mph  **Past Occurrence:** $980,000 regional damage  **Future Probability:** >75% probability in a year |
| **Invasive Species**  **Vulnerable Assets:** people (especially older adults, children, and sick); highway infrastructure; power lines; telecommunications systems; trees; local businesses  **Location:** Town-wide  **Extent:** ± ½ “ of ice accumulation  **Past Occurrence:** $750,000 local / $12M Statewide  **Future Probability:** >75% probability in a year |  |

Table 6: Location-Vulnerable Assets-Extent-Impact-Probability

# 6 HAZARD MITIGATION STRATEGY

The highest risk natural hazards and vulnerabilities identified in the previous section of this Plan directly inform the hazard mitigation strategy outlined below, which the community will strive to accomplish over the coming years. The mitigation strategy chosen by the Town includes the most appropriate activities to reduce future risk from potential hazards.

## Mitigation Goals and Objectives

The Hazard Mitigation Planning Committee identified the following as the community’s primary goals:

Protect the health and safety of the public, protect existing floodplain areas from development, reduce loss of infrastructure due to flash flooding, ensure that essential services can function during disaster by making the infrastructure more resilient, and ensure that highway improvement result in safer conditions. This can be accomplished by:

Increase the Town of Ferrisburgh’s resilience to natural hazards by advancing mitigation investment to reduce or avoid long-term risk to people, homes, neighborhoods, the local economy, cultural and historic resources, ecosystems, and Community Lifelines such as transportation, water, sewer, energy, and communications.

See Community Survey Results in **Appendix D** for which assets survey respondents’ thought were most important to protect against potential future severe weather impacts.

## Community Lifelines

Community Lifelines enable the continuous operation of critical government and business functions and are essential to human health and safety or economic security. The goal of the lifeline concept is to focus response efforts on stabilizing or re-establishing these most fundamental services during and after a disaster. Mitigating lifelines should reduce cascading impacts across government and business functions and lessen system-wide damage.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. Law Enforcement  2. Fire Service  3. Search & Rescue  4. Government Service  5. Community Safety | 1. Highway/Road/Motor Vehicle  2. Mass Transit  3. Railway  4. Aviation  5. Maritime | 1. Medical Care  2. Public Health  3. Patient Movement  4. Medical Supply Chain  5. Fatality Management | 1. Infrastructure  2. Responder Communications  3. Alerts, Warnings, & Messages  4. Finance  5. 911 & Dispatch |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1. Food  2. Water  3. Shelter  4. Agriculture | 1. Power Grid  2. Fuel | 1. Facilities HAZMAT, Pollutants, Contaminants |  |

## Community Capabilities

Each community has a unique set of capabilities, including authorities, programs, staff, funding, and other resources available to accomplish mitigation and reduce long-term vulnerability. Grafton’s mitigation capabilities that reduce hazard impacts or that could be used to implement hazard mitigation activities are listed below.

### Administrative and Technical

This capability refers to the Town’s staff and their skills and tools that can be used for mitigation planning and to implement actions. In addition to the Emergency Management staff described in Section 3, municipal staff that can be used for mitigation planning and to implement specific mitigation actions include: Town Administrator, Town Treasurer, Town Clerk, Assistant Town Clerk, and Administrative Officer. The Selectboard acts as the Road Commissioner. Grafton also has a 4-person road crew which includes a working Road Foreman.

In addition to paid staff, there is a 5-member Selectboard, 5-member Planning Commission, Fire Warden, Town Health Officer, and Constable.

To augment local resources, the Town has formal mutual aid agreements for emergency response – fire and public works. Technical support is available through the WRC in the areas of land use planning, emergency management, transportation, GIS mapping, and grant writing. Technical support is also available through the State ANR for floodplain bylaw administration and VTrans Districts for hydraulic analyses.

**Strengths** community with a family atmosphere committed small core of volunteers involved in several committees and groups strong interdepartmental communication and cooperation

**Areas for Improvement** potential candidates for volunteering are limited and a small pool of volunteers creates burnout and limited time commitments. Communications need to be improved.

### Planning and Regulatory

These capabilities are the plans, policies, codes, and ordinances that prevent and reduce the impacts of hazards. Examples of planning capabilities that can either enable or inhibit mitigation include land use plans, capital improvement programs, transportation plans, stormwater management plans, disaster recovery and reconstruction plans, and emergency preparedness and response plans. Examples of regulatory capabilities include the enforcement of zoning ordinances, subdivision regulations, and building codes that regulate how and where land is developed, and structures are built.

**Town Plan:** 2020-2028

**Description:** A framework and guide for how future growth and development should proceed.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Includes goals and policies related to flood resilience and land use.

**Subdivision Regulation: January 2009 Revised 2018**

**Description:** Provides for orderly community growth promoting the health, safety, and general welfare of the community.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Establish site plan review requirements and areas, including Flood Damage Prevention regulation compliance. Requirements are designed to prevent overdevelopment; to mitigate negative impacts to the natural and human environment; minimize effects to the historical and aesthetic character of the community.

**Flood Damage Prevention Regulations:** August 2007 Revised March 2020

**Description:** Provides for orderly community growth promoting the health, safety, and general welfare of the community.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Establish site plan review requirements and areas, including Flood Hazard and River Corridor Overlay Districts, with specific standards for proposed development. Ensure design and construction of development in flood and other hazard areas are accomplished in a manner that minimizes or eliminates the potential for flood loss or damage to life and property.

**Road and Bridge Standards:** July 2019

**Description:** Provide minimum codes and standards for construction, repair, and maintenance of town roads and bridges.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Standards include management practices and are designed to ensure travel safety, minimize damage to road infrastructure during flood events, and enhance water quality protections.

**Road Erosion Inventory Report:** 2017

**Description:** Prioritizes those infrastructure projects necessary to improve transportation network resiliency and water quality.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Improvements are designed to minimize or eliminate flood impacts on hydrologically connected road segments.

**Local Emergency Management Plan:** April 2024

**Description:** Establishes lines of responsibility and procedures to be implemented during a disaster and identifies high risk populations, hazard sites, and available resources.

**Relationship to Natural Hazard Mitigation Planning:** Includes actions for tracking events and response actions including damage reports to facilitate funding requests during recovery. This type of information can be essential to preparing hazard mitigation applications for FEMA funding.

**Strengths** plans and regulations in place are being executed; keep plans and regulations up to date strong local partners in implementing plans; ensure plans are integrated appropriately. LEMP and Roads and Bridge Standard are updated annually

**Areas for Improvement** policies and ordinances could be looked at more frequently and evaluated for ways to integrate more

### Financial

These capabilities are the resources that a community has access to or is eligible to use to fund mitigation actions.

Grafton’s 2024 Budget is $1,340,201 total budget, with $847,086 for the Highway Department for operating expenses and retreatment of roads. The Capital Budget is $200,000 for equipment, culverts, buildings, computers, etc. Grafton also applies for grants when they are made available, primarily from VTrans.

**Strengths** well-funded budgets; line item budget including capital improvement

**Areas for Improvement** IncreaseGrant applications

### Education and Outreach

Grafton has several outreach and education opportunities that could be used to implement mitigation activities and communicate hazard-related information:

Information is put out during Town Meeting Day such as Windham Regional Planning Commission providing flyers. There is a calendar on the Town’s website, postings at the Town Office, Facebook page and public library, the Grafton News, and the town also utilizes VT-Alert when necessary.

Grafton Promotional Association and town officials are always available and reachable for discussions or to answer questions.

**Strengths** multiple programs/organizations are already in place in the community particularly strong online and social media presence

**Areas for Improvement** Utilize VT-Alert more frequently to inform the residents of activities such as road work in order to become more familiar and outreach through nonprofits such as Grafton Cares.

### National Flood Insurance Program

Grafton currently participates in the NFIP program and will continue to regulate floodplain use through

the Grafton Flood Damage and Prevention Regulations (adopted in May 2007 and to be updated during

this 5-year Hazard Mitigation planning period). The town has adopted the FEMA floodplain maps (last

amended by FEMA in 2007).

Continued enforcement of these regulations by the Grafton Administrative Officer will maintain Grafton’s

compliance with the NFIP. The Administrative Officer is charged with implementing these regulations

and, in concert with the Development Review Board, advising residents on floodplain development.

These resources help to reduce damage to existing buildings and new development, town infrastructure,

and critical facilities by encouraging or regulating development location, building design, environmental

conservation, and best management practices to reduce flooding and erosion.

Grafton’s regulations outline detailed minimum standards in the Flood Damage and Prevention Regulations for development in flood hazard areas defined as FEMA Special Flood Hazard Areas and Floodway Areas according to “10 V.S.A. Chapter 32 and in accord with the Vermont Planning and Development Act, Act 24 V.S.A., Chapter 117 Section 4424, 4411, and 4414, there are hereby established zoning regulation for areas of special flood hazard”. The regulations also require administering Substantial Improvement and Substantial Damage (SI/SD) requirements in accordance with FEMA P-758 SI/SD Desk Reference, May 2010: in accordance with 24 V.S.A. § 1972 and 24 V.S.A. § 4461 and shall be used to determine the appropriate development standards for repair and rebuilding.

The Town discussed the following as possible actions to continue NFIP compliance:

1) Prepare, distribute, or make available NFIP insurance explanatory pamphlets or booklets.

2) Participate in NFIP training offered by the State and/or FEMA.

3) Establish mutual aid agreements with neighboring communities to address administering the NFIP following a major storm.

### State Incentives for Flood Mitigation

Vermont’s Emergency Relief Assistance Funding (ERAF) provides state funding to match FEMA Public Assistance after federally declared disasters. Eligible public costs are generally reimbursed by FEMA at 75% with a 7.5% State match. The State will increase its match to 12.5% or 17.5% if communities take steps to reduce flood risk as described below.

12.5% funding for communities that have adopted four (4) mitigation measures:

1) NFIP participation;

2) Town Road and Bridge Standards;

3) Local Emergency Plan; and

4) Local Hazard Mitigation Plan.

17.5% funding for communities that also participate in FEMA’s Community Rating System OR adopt Fluvial Erosion Hazard or other river corridor protection bylaw that meets or exceeds the Vermont ANR model regulations.

***Grafton’s current ERAF rate is 12.5%. Upon adoption of the 2024 Local Hazard Mitigation Plan, ERAF Rate Actions 1-4 will be up to date and therefore their ERAF rate will remain at 12.5%.***

## Mitigation Action Identification

The Hazard Mitigation Planning Team discussed the mitigation strategy, reviewed projects from the 2017 Plan, and identified possible new actions from the following categories for each of the highest risk natural hazards identified in Section 5.

**Local Plans & Regulations** These actions include government authorities, policies, or codes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built.

**Structure & Infrastructure Projects** These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This applies to public or private structures as well as critical facilities.

**Natural Systems Protection** These actions minimize damage and losses and preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.

**Outreach & Education Programs** These actions inform and educate the public about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Although this type of action reduces risk less directly than structure projects or regulation, it is an important foundation. Greater awareness is more likely to lead to community support for direct actions.

**Local Plans & Regulations Examples**

**Integrate Mitigation into Capital Improvement Programs:** Incorporate risk assessment and hazard mitigation principles into capital planning.

**Reduce Impacts to Roadways:** The leading cause of death and injury during winter storms is automobile accidents, so it is important to plan for and maintain adequate road and debris clearing capabilities.

**Develop a Road Right-of-Way Vegetation Management Plan:** Identify community priorities and plan of action for site-specific tree and roadside forest management to increase roadside resilience.

**Improve Flood Resilience with a Flood Study:** The aim of a flood study is to define existing flood behavior for a particular catchment, river, or creek. The study helps inform building, land use planning, community awareness and disaster management.

**Improve Stormwater Management Planning:** Rain and snowmelt can cause flooding and erosion in developed areas. A community-wide stormwater management plan can address stormwater runoff-related flooding.

**Manage Development in Erosion Hazard Areas:** The intent of River Corridor Bylaws is to allow for wise use of property within river corridors that minimizes potential damage to existing structures and development from flood-related erosion.

**Structure & Infrastructure Project Examples**

**Protect Power Lines:** Protect power lines by 1) inspecting and maintaining hazardous trees in the road right-of-way and 2) burying power lines.

**Protect Critical Roadways:** Use snow fences or living snow fences (e.g., rows of trees) to limit blowing and drifting of snow.

**Retrofit Critical Facilities:** Critical facilities can be protected from the impacts of high winds and winter storms by 1) retrofitting them to strengthen structural frames to withstand wind and snow loads; 2) anchoring roof-mounted mechanical equipment; and 3) installing back-up generators or quick connect wiring for a portable generator.

**Remove Existing Structures from Flood Hazard Areas:** FEMA policy encourages the removal of structures from flood-prone areas to minimize future flood losses and preserve lands subject to repetitive flooding.

**Improve Stormwater Drainage Capacity:** Minimize flooding and fluvial erosion by 1) increasing drainage/absorption capacities with green stormwater management practices; 2) increasing dimensions of undersized drainage culverts in flood-prone areas; 3) stabilizing outfalls with riprap and other slope stabilization techniques; and 4) re-establishing roadside ditches.

**Conduct Regular Maintenance for Drainage Systems:** Help drainage systems and flood control structures function properly with 1) routine cleaning and repair; 2) cleaning debris from support bracing underneath low-lying bridges; and 3) inspecting bridges and identifying if any repairs are needed to maintain integrity or prevent scour.

**Protect Infrastructure and Critical Facilities:** Minimize infrastructure losses and protect critical facilities from flooding by 1) elevating roads above base flood elevation to maintain dry access; 2) armoring streambanks near roadways to prevent washouts; 3) rerouting a stream away from a vulnerable roadway; and 4) floodproofing facilities.

**Natural Systems Protection Examples**

**Protect and Restore Natural Flood Mitigation Features:** Natural conditions can provide floodplain protection, riparian buffers, groundwater infiltration, and other ecosystem services that mitigate flooding. Preserving such functionality is important. Examples include 1) adding riparian buffers; 2) stabilizing stream banks; 3) removing berms; 4) minimizing impervious area development; 5) restore floodplain; and 6) restore incision areas.

**Outreach & Education Program Examples**

**Educate Residents about Extreme Winter Weather:** Winter storms create a higher risk of car accidents, hypothermia, frostbite, carbon monoxide poisoning, and heart attacks from overexertion. Educational outreach can help minimize these risks.

**Assist Vulnerable Populations:** Measures can be taken to protect vulnerable populations from natural hazards, such as 1) organizing outreach and 2) establishing and promoting accessible heating or cooling centers in the community.

## Mitigation Action Evaluation

For each mitigation action identified, the Planning Team evaluated its potential benefits and/or likelihood of successful implementation. Actions were evaluated against a range of criteria, including a planning level assessment of whether the costs are reasonable compared to the probable benefits. Results of this evaluation are presented in **Table 6**.

See Community Survey Results in **Appendix D** for which category of mitigation actions survey respondents wanted the Town to prioritize.

## Mitigation Action Evaluation

After careful evaluation, the Planning Team agreed on a list of actions that support the Mitigation Goals of this Plan and are acceptable and practical for the community to implement.

***Actions without overall public support/political will were not selected for implementation. Actions whose costs were not reasonable compared to probable benefits were also not selected.***

For the selected actions, the Planning Team then 1) assigned a responsible party to lead the completion of each action; 2) identified potential grant funding; 3) defined a timeframe for implementation; and ranked each action’s priority (high, medium, low).

Natural hazards pose a unique threat to the Town’s vulnerable populations. Data has shown that underserved and marginalized populations tend to live in at-risk hazard-prone areas or in homes with substandard construction. The data also suggests that this segment of the community is less likely to fully recover after a disaster. When ranking an action’s priority, those that directly benefit a vulnerable population were ranked high.

The action plan is presented in **Table 8**.

Table - Mitigation Action Evaluation and Prioritization

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mitigation Action – UPDATE to include past actions** | **Life** | **Prop** | **Tech** | **Political** | **Admin** | **Other** | **Benefit** | **Est** | **C/B** |
| **Safety** | **Protect** | **Obj** | **Score** | **Cost** |
| **Local Plans & Regulations** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Review new state flood plain regulations and ensure town regulations comply or exceed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Update Road Erosion (MRGP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Culvert Inventories - is maintained as there are improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Review and update subdivision regulation as needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Update list of vulnerable population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital plan to upgrade trucks from every 7 years to 5 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Update LEMP to include line of communication annex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Not Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Structure & Infrastructure Projects** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protect Power Lines and Roads by Inspecting and Removing Hazardous Trees in Road ROW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 large culverts on fisher hill rd #1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >$200K |  |
| 1 large culvert on stage coach rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >$200K |  |
| Eastman road culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >$200K |  |
| Hinckly brook 1 culvert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >$200K |  |
| GMP Power company to install line redundancy and separate 3 phase power lines VT 121 east ,coming from Westminster |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | >$200k |  |
| Experiment with battery backups at points of distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ongoing battery bank program with GMP for areas with numerous outages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0 cost upfront? Possibly monthly cost |  |
| **Not Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |
| **Natural Systems Protection** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research the feasibility of adoptiong the Community Rating System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <$50k |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Not Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Outreach & Education Programs** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research the feasibility of adoptiong the Community Rating System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <$50k |  |
| Educate and Inform property owners of ways they can mitgate damage to their properties (from survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <$50k |  |
| **Not Recommended for Implementation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | | | | | | | | |

**Table 7 Evaluation Criteria:**

**Life Safety** –Will the action be effective at protecting lives and preventing injuries?

**Property Protection** –Will the action be effective at eliminating or reducing damage to structures and infrastructure?

**Technical** – Is the action a long-term, technically feasible solution?

**Political** – Is there overall public support/political will for the action?

**Administrative** – Does the community have the administrative capacity to implement the action?

**Other Community Objectives** – Does the action advance other community objectives, such as capital improvements, economic development, benefit a vulnerable population, environmental quality, or open space preservation?

**Rank each of the above criteria in Table 5 with a -1, 0, or 1 using the following table:**

1 = Highly effective or feasible

0 = Neutral

-1 = Ineffective or not feasible

**Estimated Cost** – 1 = less than $50,000; 2 = $50,000 to $100,000; 3 = more than $100,000

**C/B** – Are the costs reasonable compared to the probable benefits? Yes or No

I had this in my notes

Recommended changes to zoning advocated by the State of Vermont, if adopted, would limit development in hazard areas currently allowed under the federal minimum recommendations.

## Table 8: Mitigation Action Plan

**Comply with state floodplain regulations:** The Town will review new state flood plain regulations and ensure town regulations comply or exceed

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide flood hazard areas and river corridors

**Lead Party:** Planning Commission Chair

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Update Road Erosion Inventory (MRGP)**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide flood hazard areas and river corridors

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund/VTRANS MRGP Grant

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2027

**Prioritization:** High

**Update Culvert Inventories**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide roads

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** Ongoing:maintained as there are improvements

**Prioritization:** High

**Review and update subdivision regulation**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Food, Water, Shelter

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide

**Lead Party:** Planning Commission Chair

**Grant Funding Source:** General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Update list of vulnerable population**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Health and Medical

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide residents

**Lead Party:** EMD

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** Annually as LEMP is updated

**Prioritization:** High

**Capital plans to upgrade trucks from every 7 years to 5 years**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide

**Lead Party: Planning Commission Chair** (LEAD)/ Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway Fund

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Update LEMP to include line of communication annex**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Communications

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide

**Lead Party:** EMD

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2025

**Prioritization:** High

**Protect Power Lines and Roads by Inspecting and Removing Hazardous Trees in Road ROW**

**Addressed Hazards:** Ice / Snow / Invasive Species

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation; Communications; Power Grid

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide flood

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Replace 2 large culverts on Fisher Hill Rd culvert #10 and #13**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Fisher Hill Rd

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund/General fund/ VTrans Grants

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Replace 1 large culvert on Stage Coach Rd**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Stage Coach Rd

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund/General fund/ VTrans Grants

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Replace Eastman Road Culvert**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Eastman Rd

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund/General fund/ VTrans Grants

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Replace Hinkley Brook Rd Culvert**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Hinkley Brook Rd

**Lead Party:** Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Highway fund/General fund/ VTrans Grants

**Partnerships:**

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**GMP Power company to install line redundancy and separate 3 phase power lines VT 121 east ,coming from Westminster**

**Addressed Hazards:** Ice / Snow

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Energy

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide

**Lead Party:** Green Mountain Power

**Grant Funding Source:** Green Mountain Power Corp.

**Partnerships:** Town of Grafton

**Project Timeframe:** 2027?

**Prioritization:** High

**Experiment with battery backups at points of distribution**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Energy; Communications

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide

**Lead Party:** Town Administrator

**Grant Funding Source:** Green Mountain Power / resident funding

**Partnerships:** Green Mountain Power

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Battery bank program with GMP**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Structure & Infrastructure

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Energy; Communications

**Area of Impact:** Targeted areas with numerous outages

**Lead Party:** Town Administrator

**Grant Funding Source:** Resident funding / Green Mountain Power program

**Partnerships:** Green Mountain Power

**Project Timeframe:** 2030

**Prioritization:** High

**Research the feasibility of adopting the Community Rating System**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations; Outreach & Education

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide flood hazard areas and river corridors

**Lead Party:** Planning Commission Chair

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Educate and Inform property owners of ways they can mitigate damage to their properties**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Outreach & Education

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide property owners

**Lead Party:** EMD?

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**From 2019 Plan Rollover**

**Town wide drainage study**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Town-wide flood hazard areas and river corridors

**Lead Party:** Planning Commission Chair

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Pursue funding for hydrology study and preliminary design for Cabell Road bridge #18**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Cabell Rd

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Pursue funding for hydrology study and preliminary design for Townshend Road bridge over Howe Brook**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Howe Brook under Townshend Road

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Include a review of the Hazard Mitigation Plan in annual capital budgeting process and incorporate projects from this plan**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Townwide projects

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Develop a long-term plan to address new Municipal Roads General Permit (MRGP) standards for prioritizing hydrologically- connected road segments**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Hydrologically connected road segments townwide

**Lead Party: Selectboard (LEAD)** / Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General and highway fund

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Implement MRGP Plan each year on prioritized road segments as funding becomes available COMBINE WITH ABOVE??**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Hydrologically connected road segments townwide

**Lead Party: Selectboard (LEAD)** / Highway Supervisor

**Grant Funding Source:** Town General and highway fund

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Review and Update Continuity Plans for Government and Operations**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Communications

**Area of Impact:** Townwide ; continuity of government

**Lead Party:** Selectboard / Town administrator?

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Conduct formal monitoring of this LHMP prior to the annual budgeting process and inform the public on progress made to increase community awareness**

**Addressed Hazards:** All Hazards

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations; Outreach & Education

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security;

**Area of Impact:** Townwide

**Lead Party:** Selectboard / LHMP Planning Committee?

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Consider strengthening stormwater infiltration practices/recommendations for new development to improve flood resiliency and minimize erosion**

m

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Townwide

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Review and Update Flood Damage Prevention Regulations (FDPR) to consider extending provisions to upland development if stormwater runoff could impact flood/erosion hazard**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Local Plans & Regulations

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security; Transportation

**Area of Impact:** Townwide

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Identify property owners located within Special Flood Hazard Areas or River Corridor and develop an outreach plan to educate them on flood and erosion risks, mitigation ideas, local by-laws and NFIP**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Outreach & Education

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security

**Area of Impact:** Townwide property owners with SFHA

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

**Further investigate and proactively seek viable options and funding for conservation easements and buffer restoration to improve floodplain access; particularly in the Willie and Styles Brook area west of Townshend Rd. Riprap and berming have reduced floodplain access west of Townshend Rd. putting homes and the road at risk. Passive restoration is recommended to restore floodplain access**

**Addressed Hazards:** Floods

**Type of project:** Natural Systems Protection

**Community Lifelines Targeted:** Safety & Security

**Area of Impact:** Townshend Rd and townwide

**Lead Party:** Selectboard

**Grant Funding Source:** Town Administrative/General fund and volunteer time

**Partnerships:** WRC, Planning Commission, Development Review Board

**Project Timeframe:** 2029

**Prioritization:** High

# 7 PLAN MAINTENANCE

This Plan is dynamic. To ensure it remains current and relevant, it should be annually evaluated and monitored and updated every five years, in accordance with FEMA guidelines in effect at the time.

## Annual Evaluation and Monitoring

Within 12 months of FEMA Final Approval, the Plan will be annually evaluated and monitored as follows:

Make Annual

Evaluate Plan Effectiveness



**1**

**2**

Monitor Mitigation Action Progress

Invite Public Comment/Input



**3**

Progress Report Available to Public



**4 5**

Adjust Mitigation Strategy/Actions as Necessary

The Selectboard will assemble a Review/Update Committee to evaluate the effectiveness of the Plan in meeting the stated goals. Things to consider during this evaluation:

**1**

* What disasters has the town (or region) experienced?
* Should the list of highest risk natural hazard impacts be modified?
* Are new data sources, maps, plans, or reports available? If so, what have they revealed, and should the information be incorporated into this plan?
* Has development in the region occurred and could it create or reduce risk?
* Has the town adopted new policies or regulations that could be incorporated into this plan?
* Have elements of this plan been incorporated into new plans, reports, policies, or regulations?
* Are there different or additional community capabilities available for mitigation implementation?



**2**

Next, the Review/Update Committee will monitor mitigation action progress. Things to consider:

* Is the mitigation strategy being implemented as anticipated?
* Were the cost and timeline estimates accurate?
* Should new mitigation actions be added?
* Should proposed actions be revised or removed?
* Are there new funding sources to consider?

The status (e.g., in progress, complete) of each action should be recorded in **Table 7**. If the status is “in progress” note whether the action is on schedule. If not, describe any problems, delays, or adverse conditions that will impair the ability to complete the action.

**3**

The Selectboard will seek public comment from the Whole Community on plan implementation. Things to consider:

* Are there any new stakeholders to include?
* What public outreach activities have occurred?
* How can public involvement be improved?

**4**

Based on input received, the mitigation strategy and/or actions will be modified, if needed.

A report (or record in the form of meeting minutes) of the annual evaluation and monitoring will be made available to the public.

**5**

**Table X: Mitigation Action Status**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mitigation Action** | **2024** | **2025** | **2026** | **2027** | **2028** |
| **Local Plans & Regulations** | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Structure & Infrastructure Projects** | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Natural Systems Protection** | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Outreach & Education Programs** | | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5-Year Updates

This Plan will be updated at a minimum every five (5) years as follows:



Initiate Plan Update

Approval Pending Adoption



FEMA Final Approval

**1 2 3 4 5**

Opportunities for Whole Community Involvement

Local Adoption

Currently, funding to assist municipalities in paying for planning services to update the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is available through FEMA’s Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) grant program. If using this grant, TOWN XXX should contact Vermont Emergency Management (VEM) to apply for funding in 2027 – approximately 2 years before the Plan expires.

**1**

Once funding is secured and the grant agreement between the Town and State is in place, the Town Manager can issue a request for proposals (RFP) to procure planning services in accordance with the grant agreement. The RFP should be issued approximately 14 months before the Plan expires.

Once a consultant is procured, the Plan update can begin with a kick-off meeting including the consultant and local hazard mitigation planning team. The kick-off meeting should be scheduled approximately 12 months before the Plan expires. The Town should allot approximately 8 months for the Plan update process.

Opportunities for Whole Community involvement throughout the Plan update process need to be factored into the schedule. These opportunities may include a community survey, planning workshop, and public meetings at critical milestones agreed to at the project kick-off meeting.

**2**



**3**

Once the local hazard mitigation planning team has prepared a final draft, they can seek authorization from the Selectboard to submit the Plan for VEM/FEMA approval. Plan approval is accomplished in two steps – the first is Approval Pending Adoption. The Town should submit for Approval Pending Adoption approximately 4 months before the Plan expires to allow for time to respond to any review comments received from VEM/FEMA.



**4**

Once the Town receives Approval Pending Adoption, the Selectboard should adopt the Plan as soon as their next regular meeting.



**5**

Once adopted, the Town can submit the Plan for VEM/FEMA Final Approval. The Town should submit for Final Approval approximately 1 month before the Plan expires to ensure there is no gap in coverage between updates. The plan will expire 5 years from the FEMA Final Approval.

# APPENDIX A – Community Outreach

# APPENDIX B – Past Mitigation Actions Updates

**TABLE 10: 2019-2023 Town of Grafton Mitigation/Preparedness Strategies and Actions**

|  |
| --- |
| High Priority |
| Moderate Priority |
| Low Priority |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MITIGATION ACTION OR STRATEGY** | **TYPE1** | **HAZARD ADDRESSED** | **RELATED**  **GOAL/ OBJECTIVE2** | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY3** | **TIME FRAME** | **FUNDING SOURCE/ COST TO TOWN4** | **2025 Update** |
| ***INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS***6 | | | | | | |  |
| Upgrade deteriorated culvert #1 on Chester Rd. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, HD | 3Q/2019 –  3Q/2020 | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,Town Match, Town Capital | Completed – Year? |
| Assess and repair or upgrade culvert #10 on Fisher Hill Rd. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, HD | 3Q/2020 -  3Q/2021 | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | On the list to be replaced - in process, has been assessed – Year? |
| Re-assess priority and determine cost to design & upgrade culvert #13 on Fisher Hill Rd. should opportunity arise given historic restrictions. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c, 4a | SB, HD | Beyond Plan Period –  unless washed out | Moderate Town Personnel, BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP, Town  Match, Town Capital to Implement | On the list to be replaced - in process, has been assessed – Year? |
| Conduct hydrology study and engineering for replacement/upgrade of culvert/bridge at intersection of Fisher Hill Rd. and Bell Rd. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, HD | Beyond Plan Period – unless  washed out | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | Completed - 2024 |
| Upgrade culvert #1 on Bell Rd. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, HD | 2Q/2022 -  2023/3Q | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | Completed - 2024 |
| Upgrade culvert from the Ball Field to Saxtons River on Townshend Road. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, HD | Next Plan Period | Town Capital, Moderate Town Personnel | Windham foundation and Grafton improvement association - under review but no plan at the moment - maybe a town wide drainage study to see if this culvert can actually removed - Add to new plan |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MITIGATION ACTION OR STRATEGY** | **TYPE1** | | **HAZARD ADDRESSED** | | | **RELATED**  **GOAL/ OBJECTIVE2** | | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY3** | | **TIME FRAME** | | **FUNDING SOURCE/ COST TO TOWN4** | | **2025 Update** |
| Upgrade culvert #13 on Eastman Rd. | | M | | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | | SB, HD | | 2Q/2022 -  2023/3Q | | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | | Not completed but application in for HMGP grant | |
| Assess cost, prioritize and establish a capital plan to upgrade of 7 culverts on Hinkley Brook Rd. | | M | | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c, 4a | | SB, HD, PC, DRB, WRC, HMC | | 4Q/2020 –  4Q/2021 | | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | | #24 will be upgraded July 2025 - plan to put out to bid  #33 - HMGP grant app submitted  3 were upsized and completed  the other two are considered as impactful but #43 could be replaced  Add to current action list | |
| Pursue funding for a hydrology study and preliminary design to upgrade/retrofit bridge #18 on Cabell Rd. Bridge is undersized causing downstream erosion with debris catchment this can be a severe flooding risk to upstream properties.  (See **Appendix F**: Project #18 in RCP, and a priority in RCMR) 2 | | M | | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | | SB, HD, PC, DRB, WRC | | 1Q/2023 –  4Q/2023 | | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | | Br 18 - its on current list of hmgp grant app  Add to current action list | |
| Pursue funding for a hydrology study and preliminary design to upgrade/retrofit bridge beneath Townshend Rd. on Howe Brook.  Bridge is undersized causing upstream bank erosion and is threatening erosion and flooding of adjacent home and roads.  (See **Appendix F**: Project #29 in RCP, and a priority in RCMR) 2 | | M | | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | | SB, HD, PC, DRB, WRC | | 1Q/2023 –  4Q/2023 | | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP, FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP,  Town Match, Town Capital | | Floodplain restoration would be a more permanent solution but has not been done yet  Add to current action list? | |
| Include a review of the Hazard Mitigation Plan in annual capital budgeting process and incorporate projects from this plan. | | M | | All Hazards | 2a, 2c, 3a, 3c | | SB, PC, DRB, HMC, EM | | Each Year- 3Q | | Town, Low Town personnel time, WRC | | Completed and ongoing  Incorporate projects and prioritize because of the plan as the budget allows  Add to current action list? | |
| Develop a long-term plan to address new Municipal Roads General Permit (MRGP) standards for prioritizing hydrologically- connected road segments. | | M | | Erosion | 2a, 2c, 3a, 3c, 4a | | SB, HD, PC, DRB, HMC, WRC | | 2Q/2019-  4Q/2020 | | Town, High Town personnel time, WRC | | Ongoing as funded opportunities present themselves  Add to current action list | |
| Implement MRGP Plan each year on prioritized | | M | | Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c,  4a, 4c | | SB, HD, WRC | | Each Year  2Q & 3Q | | BRGP, MRGIA, ERGP, CWBG, HMGP,  FMA, VTrans, TAP, THC2RP, THSGP, | | REI of 2025 will ensure to meet all requirements of ACT 64  Add to current action list | |

ADD - Study Townshend rd floodplain to see the effects of removing the berms - add as an action item - the sediment all flows in to the river

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MITIGATION ACTION OR STRATEGY** | **TYPE1** | **HAZARD ADDRESSED** | **RELATED**  **GOAL/ OBJECTIVE2** | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY3** | **TIME FRAME** | **FUNDING SOURCE/ COST TO TOWN4** | **2025 Update** |
| Remove Kidder Hill Dam to reduce upstream erosion and flooding.  (See **Appendix F**: Project #27 in RCP, and a priority in RCMR) 2 | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2c, 3c | SB, CRC | 3Q/2019 –  4Q/2019 | ERGP, CWBG, Town Match | Completed in 2021 |
| ***PLANNING, PROGRAMS AND STRATEGIES*** | | | | | | |  |
| Evaluate the feasibility of a local limited emergency shelter and plan for effective location of generator. | P | All Hazards | 1a, 1b, 3a, 3b | EM, FD, TA, HMC | 3Q/2021 | HMGP, EMPG, PDM | Completed – year? |
| Review and Update Continuity Plans for Government and Operations | M, P | All Hazards | 1b, 2a, 3a, 3b | SB, TA | 1Q/2021 -  4Q/2021 | Moderate Town Personnel Time | Add to current action list |
| Incorporate hazard mitigation planning into current municipal Town Plan update and other town planning, discussions, and activities to increase project visibility, municipal awareness, and support for funding. | M | All Hazards | 2a, 3a | SB, HMC, PC, DRB, WRC | 3Q/2019 –  1Q/2020 | Moderate Town Personnel Time, WRC | Completed in 2020 with the Town plan update |
| Conduct formal monitoring of this HMP prior to the annual budgeting process and inform the public on progress made to increase community awareness. | M, P | All Hazards | 2b, 4c | SB, HMC, TA | 3Q-4Q  Each Year | Moderate Town Personnel Time | Completed and ongoing - Town meeting - town report  Add to current action list |
| Explore the development of a workable “At-Risk Resident Registry” program and/or outreach effort to identify vulnerable community members eligible for registration with C.A.R.E. to more effectively respond to those in need should a disaster occur. | P | All Hazards | 1a, 1b, 3a, 3b, 4a | SB, FD, EM, TA, HMC, HA, SRWC | 1Q/2021 –  4Q/2021 | High Town Personnel Time, HMGP, C.A.R.E., Private Funders (Ames/Holt) | Completed and ongoing - Have a list of all home health nurses that work with residents - informal mutual aid  Visiting nurses association  Ongoing along with Grafton Cares - Meals on Wheels has the info also. |
| Review recommended activities from Vermont’s “Fire Safe 802 Program” and National Fire  Protection Association’s “Firewise Program” for  outreach ideas to educate community on how to  reduce structure fire risk. | M | Wildland & Structure Fire | 1a, 1b, 3a-c | SB, FD | 1Q/2021 –  4Q/2021 | Moderate Town Personnel Time, FPSG, VDFS | Fire Department does conduct fire safety education opportunites at the schools  Ongoing but not relevant to current list of hazards - smoke trailer training also (state funded trailier) along with CPR training. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MITIGATION ACTION OR STRATEGY** | **TYPE1** | **HAZARD ADDRESSED** | **RELATED**  **GOAL/ OBJECTIVE2** | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY3** | **TIME FRAME** | **FUNDING SOURCE/ COST TO TOWN4** | **2025 Update** |
| Annually review the Vermont Division of Fire Safety’s Public Education webpage for new outreach ideas to maintain fire risk awareness.  Implement if feasible. | M, P | Wildland & Structure Fire | 1a, 1b, 3a-c | SB, FD, HMC | 2Q Each Year | Moderate Town Personnel Time, FPSG, VDFS | Ongoing  but not relevant to current list of hazards |
| Enhance current seasonal fire safety awareness program for residents, landowners, and rental properties on Fire Hazards to increase fire awareness during most vulnerable seasonal periods, winter and early spring. | M, P | Wildland & Structure Fire | 1a, 1b, 3a-c | SB, FD, HMC | 2Q/2022 –  4Q/2022 | High Town Personnel Time, FPSG, VDFS | Ongoing  but not relevant to current list of hazards Member of the FD has a column in the local paper and writes articles regarding fire safety  FB posts about training and a fire levels |
| Develop a cost-effective inspection program for Air B&B rental properties for fire and building safety standards to mitigate potential fire hazards and implement, if plausible. | M, P | Structure Fire | 1a, 1b, 3a-c | SB, FD, Town Listers, DRB, HA | 3Q/2022 | High Town Personnel Time, FPSG, VDFS | in the process of an Airbnb survey in process - working on a short term rental ordinance Dept of fire , health and taxes needs to be posted in the rentals - requirement  Add to current action list |
| Pursue activities to attain criteria thresholds under FEMA’s NFIP Community Rating System to raise community awareness and increasing available reimbursement funding. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2a, 2c, 3a-c, 4a | SB, PC, TA, DRB, EM, SRWC | 1Q/2020 -  3Q/2023 | High Town Personnel Time, PDM, HMGP | Add to current action list |
| Review and Update Flood Damage Prevention Regulations (FDPR) to consider extending provisions to upland development if stormwater runoff could impact flood/erosion hazard. | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2a, 3c, 4a | SB, PC, TA, DRB, WRC | Next Update of FDPR | Moderate Town Personnel Time, HMGP, PDM, WRC | Ongoing town interest - have not implemented yet  Add to current action list |
| Consider strengthening stormwater infiltration practices/recommendations for new development to improve flood resiliency and | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 2a, 3c, 4a | SB, PC, DRB, WRC | To discuss during next Update of  FDPR | Moderate Town Personnel Time, HMGP, PDM, WRC | Some is currently in the Town Plan and will be ongoing - as stated above a town wide stormwater study needs to be done  Add to current action list |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MITIGATION ACTION OR STRATEGY** | **TYPE1** | **HAZARD ADDRESSED** | **RELATED**  **GOAL/ OBJECTIVE2** | **RESPONSIBLE PARTY3** | **TIME FRAME** | **FUNDING SOURCE/ COST TO TOWN4** | **2025 Update** |
| Identify property owners located within Special Flood Hazard Areas or River Corridor and develop an outreach plan to educate them on flood and erosion risks, mitigation ideas, local by-laws and NFIP. | M, P | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 3a-c, 4a | HMC, PC, DRB, WRC, SRWC | 1Q/2021 –  1Q/2023 | High Town Personnel Time, HMGP, PDM, WRC, VWG | Response to people who ask but should be ongoing  Add to current action list |
| Expand outreach to residents and developers on the State Standard Building Codes and Safety Regulations for fire prevention. | M | Structure Fire | 1a, 3a-c, 4a | FD, HA | 1Q/2022-  2Q/2022 | Moderate Town Personnel Time | building permit - does the division of fire safety have handouts for building recommendations? – ongoing but not relevant to current list of hazards |
| Further investigate and proactively seek viable options and funding for conservation easements and buffer restoration to improve floodplain access; particularly in the Willie and Styles Brook area west of Townshend Rd. Riprap and berming have reduced floodplain access west of Townshend Rd. putting homes and the road at risk. Passive restoration is recommended to restore floodplain access.  (See **Appendix F**: Project #31 in RCP, and a priority in RCMR) 2 | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 3a-c, 4a | SB, PC, DRB, WRC, SRWC | 2Q/2020 –  2Q/2021 | RCCEG, CRC, VLT, Private Funds, VRC, PDM, FMA, Moderate Town personnel cost to explore, Town Match | Saxtons River Corridor Protection - after Irene there was significant work dne but not as much in the recent flooding  Add to current action list? |
| Further investigate and prioritize long-term stream corridor protection in areas identified in RCP through passive restoration, such as easements and buffer restorations to reduce property loss from erosion and potentially improve floodplain access to reduce risk of flooding downstream.  (See **Appendix F**: Project #11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 28, and 30 in RCP, and a priority in RCMR) 2 | M | Flood, Erosion | 1a, 3a-c, 4a | SB, PC, DRB, WRC, SRWC | 2Q/2020 –  2Q/2023 | RCCEG, CRC, VLT, Private Funds, VRC, PDM, FMA, Moderate Town personnel cost to explore, Town Match |  |

1 M – Mitigation, P – Preparedness

2 As identified in the Saxtons River Corridor Mapping Report (RCMR) and/or the Saxtons River Corridor Plan (RCP)

3 See Related Goal/Objective in **Section 6.1**

4 Responsible Party:

HMC – Hazard Mitigation Committee SB - Selectboard

DRB – Development Review Board EM – Emergency Management

PC – Planning Commission HD - Highway Department HA – Health Administrator TA – Town Administrator

FD - Fire Department/Rescue

WRC – Windham Regional Commission

SRWC – Saxtons River Watershed Collaborative

5 Funding Source:

HMGP - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (VT State Department of Emergency Management)

EMPG – Emergency Management Performance Grant (VT State Department of Emergency Management) BRGP – Better Roads Grant Program

MRGIA – Municipal Roads Grants-In-Aid Program ERGP - Ecosystem Restoration Grant Program

CWBG – Clean Water Block Grant Program

CDBG – VT ACCD Community Development Block Program THSGP – Town Highway Structures Grant Program THC2RP – Town Highway Class 2 Road Program

MHSMP – Municipal Highway Stormwater Mitigation Program TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program

VMG – Vermont Watershed Grant VLT – Vermont Land Trust

VTrans – Vermont Transportation Agency

RCCEG – River Corridor Conservation Easement Grant (ERPG) CRC – Connecticut River Conservancy

VRC – Vermont River Conservancy

HBP – FEMA Home Buyout Program

FMA – FEMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Program PDM – FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program FPSG – FEMA Fire Prevention & Safety Grant VDFS – Vermont Division of Fire & Safety

6 Infrastructure projects from Grafton Road Erosion Inventory Report unless stated otherwise. These projects have been identified by the Windham Regional Commission to reduce road or streambank erosion and potentially reduce the risk of flooding.

# APPENDIX C – Meetings Agendas and Notes

# APPENDIX D – Community Survey Questions

# APPENDIX E – Certificate of Adoption

**CERTIFICATE OF ADOPTION**

**Town of Grafton, Vermont Selectboard**

**A Resolution Adopting the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Town of Grafton, Vermont 2025**

WHEREAS the Grafton Selectboard recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within the Town of TOWN Grafton; and

WHEREAS the Grafton Selectboard has prepared a natural hazard mitigation plan, hereby known as the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Town of Grafton, Vermont 2025 in accordance with federal laws, including the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended; the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended; and the National Dam Safety Program Act, as amended; and

WHEREAS the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Town of Grafton, Vermont 2025 identifies mitigation goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in the Town of Grafton from the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and

WHEREAS adoption by the Grafton Selectboard demonstrates its commitment to hazard mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Town of Grafton, Vermont 2025.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN GRAFTON, VERMONT, THAT:

Section 1. In accordance with 24 VSA §872, the Grafton Selectboard adopts the Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Town of Grafton, Vermont 2025. While content related to the Town of Grafton may require revisions to meet the plan approval requirements, changes occurring after adoption will not require the Town of Grafton to re-adopt any further iterations of the plan. Subsequent plan updates following the approval period for this plan will require separate adoption resolutions.

ADOPTED by a vote of in favor and against, and abstaining, this day of , 2024.

By: (print name) Selectboard Chair

ATTEST: By: (print name)